

New perspectives for generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchies

Jean-Pierre Magnot

23/11/2022

Geometry of Differential Equations Seminar

LAREMA - University of Angers - France
jp.magnot@gmail.com

Presentation of the talk

Plan of the talk:

- 1 From differential diffeological algebras to Mulase groups
 - Diffeologies and differential algebras
 - $\Psi DO(A)$ and its diffeology, and more...
 - Mulase groups revisited.
- 2 Aspects of the generalized KP hierarchy and its solution
 - Statement of well-posedness
 - On the Sato operator
 - On some Hamiltonian formulation
- 3 Deducing the generalized KP equation from the generalized KP hierarchy.
 - The Zakharov-Shabat equations
 - The beginning of a long list of generalized KP equations...

A primer on diffeologies (1)

Definition

Let X be a set.

- A **p-parametrization** of dimension p on X is a map from an open subset O of \mathbb{R}^p to X .
 - A **diffeology** on X is a set \mathcal{P} of parametrizations on X such that:
 - For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, any constant map $\mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow X$ is in \mathcal{P} ;
 - For each arbitrary set of indexes I and family $\{f_i : O_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ of compatible maps that extend to a map $f : \bigcup_{i \in I} O_i \rightarrow X$, if $\{f_i : O_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}$, then $f \in \mathcal{P}$.
 - For each $f \in \mathcal{P}$, $f : O \subset \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow X$, and $g : O' \subset \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow O$, in which g is a smooth map (in the usual sense) from an open set $O' \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ to O , we have $f \circ g \in \mathcal{P}$.
- A pair (X, \mathcal{P}) is called diffeological space.

A primer on diffeologies (2)

If (X, \mathcal{P}) and (X', \mathcal{P}') are two diffeological spaces, a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is **smooth** if and only if $f \circ \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}'$.

- Let $f : X \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{P})$. the biggest diffeology on X for which f is smooth is the pull-back diffeology $f^*(\mathcal{P})$.
- Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with canonical projections π_i . If $\{(X_i, \mathcal{P}_i) \mid i \in I\}$ are diffeological spaces, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_i^*(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is the product diffeology
- let $i : Y \hookrightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$ be a set inclusion map. $i^*(\mathcal{P})$ is the subset diffeology on Y .
- Any algebraic operation can be defined as smooth on diffeological spaces, which defines: diffeological monoids, diffeological groups, diffeological fields and rings, diffeological vector spaces, diffeological algebras, **diffeological differential algebras...**

Examples of useful diffeological spaces

- locally convex (maybe infinite dimensional) smooth manifolds
- non commutative torus
- algebraic varieties
- diffieties
- CW complexes
- groups of diffeomorphisms of any (maybe infinite dimensional) locally convex smooth manifold
- spaces of functions with low regularity e.g. $H^{1/2}(S^1, N)$

As an important class of examples, **Frölicher spaces** form a subcategory of the category of diffeological spaces.

The diffeological algebra $\Psi DO(A)$

Let \mathbb{K} be a characteristic zero diffeological field and let $(A, +, *, \partial)$ be a \mathbb{K} -differential diffeological unital associative algebra.

Definition

$$\Psi DO(A) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \xi^n : a_n \in A; a_n = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0 \right\}$$

where ξ is a formal variable.

$\Psi DO(A) \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a diffeological space and the classical splitting $\Psi DO(A) = DO(A) \oplus IO(A)$ is smooth.

The diffeological algebra $\Psi DO(A)$ (2)

Proposition

Let us define addition and multiplication on $\Psi DO(A)$. Let $a(\xi) = \sum a_n \xi^n$ and $b(\xi) = \sum b_n \xi^n$.

$$(a(\xi), b(\xi)) \mapsto \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_p + b_p) \xi^p \text{ and}$$

$$(a(\xi), b(\xi)) \mapsto \sum_{m, n} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (a_n \partial^\alpha b_m) (D_\xi^\alpha \xi^n) \xi^m$$

are smooth.

Let us recall that inversion is smooth in A^* , which implies:

Theorem

$(\Psi DO(A), +, *)$ is a diffeological unital (associative) \mathbb{K} -algebra.

On Mulase groups of PDOs (1)

Let $T = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ be a family of (independent) formal variables, for which we set $\text{val}_t(t_n) = n$. We set $\widehat{A} = A[[T]]$. This is also a (unital) differential diffeological algebra and $\Psi DO(\widehat{A})$ is a unital diffeological algebra.

Theorem

The algebras

$\widehat{\Psi} =$

$\left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha : \exists (C, N) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N} \text{ so that } \text{val}_t(a_\alpha) > C\alpha - N \forall \alpha \gg 0 \right\}$

and

$\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \left\{ P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha : P \in \widehat{\Psi} \text{ and } a_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha < 0 \right\}$ are diffeological

On Mulase groups of PDOs (2)

Theorem

Setting $G = 1 + \Psi DO^{-1}(\widehat{A})$, G is a diffeological Lie group with Lie algebra $\Psi DO^{-1}(\widehat{A})$.

Moreover, the groups

$$\widehat{\Psi}^* = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \in \widehat{\Psi} \mid a_0 \in G \right\}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^* = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \mid a_0 = 1 \right\}$$

are diffeological groups and there is a decomposition into a diffeological bicross product

$$\widehat{\Psi}^* = G \bowtie \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^* :$$

$$U = S^{-1}Y$$

(the map $U \mapsto S^{-1}Y$ is smooth)

On Mulase groups of PDOs (3)

Digression: A diffeological group may not have a well-defined Lie algebra, in the kinematic approach, that is, considering germs of smooth paths.

If they have one, they are called diffeological Lie groups.

Actually, $\widehat{\Psi}^*$ is a good candidate to be the first diffeological group to be proven to be a diffeological (non-Lie) group.

Precision: Here, the existence of the exponential map is not assumed for the definition of the Lie algebra, while another approach, via formal exponential maps, leads to define a Lie algebra on a group of PDOs by log-PDOs.

The KP hierarchy

$L \in \partial + \Psi DO^{-1}(\widehat{A})$ is a solution of the t_k -KP equation iff:

$$\frac{dL}{dt_k} = [(L^k)_+, L] = -[(L^k)_-, L], \quad k \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

with initial condition $L(0) \in \partial + \Psi DO^{-1}(A)$.

Brief outline of the algebraic construction of L :

$U = \exp(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} t_k L_0^k) \in \widehat{\Psi}^*$ enables the decomposition

$$U = S^{-1}Y$$

which is the key point to prove that

$$L = YL_0Y^{-1} = SL_0S^{-1}.$$

Hint: All these algebraic operations are smooth.

Statement of the integration of the KP hierarchy

Theorem

Consider the KP hierarchy in the family of variables T with initial condition $L(0) = L_0$. Then,

- 1 There exists a pair $(S, Y) \in G \times \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^*$ such that the solution to the KP hierarchy with $L(0) = L_0$ is

$$L(t) = Y L_0 Y^{-1} = S L_0 S^{-1} .$$

- 2 The pair (S, Y) is uniquely determined by the decomposition problem $\exp(\sum t_k L_0^k) = S^{-1} Y$.
- 3 the map $L_0 \in \partial + \Psi DO^{-1}(A) \mapsto L \in \partial + \Psi DO^{-1}(\widehat{A})$ is smooth.

Precisions on the Sato operator

Usually, when $A = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ or $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, with $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$, one assumes that

$$L_0 = S_0 \partial S_0^{-1}$$

where S_0 is a Sato operator in $1 + \Psi DO^{-1}(A)$. This is a restrictive condition on the possible initial condition L_0 and moreover, the Sato operator is not uniquely determined.

Analyzing the proof, this is not necessary for the construction of L .

This is even more an accurate remark while changing A into any differential diffeological algebra.

On Hamiltonian formalism

However, we feel that the Hamiltonian approach formulation can be extended to more general contexts, in a generalized Hamiltonian formulation. This is a work in progress but we can already state a published example of such a generalization.

Example

$A = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})[[z]]$ with natural addition, multiplication and differential. Then the Adler residue extends to a “trace”

$$\text{Tr} : \Psi DO(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[z]]$$

that defines an adequate “generalized $\mathbb{R}[[z]]$ -valued pairing” $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and Hamiltonian functions $H_k(\mu) = \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr}(L^{k+1})$ for $\mu = \langle L, \cdot \rangle$ and Poisson bracket obtained from the r-matrix already defined.

Zakharov-Shabat equations (1)

The (zero curvature) Zakharov-Shabat-equation for the couple (t_k, t_l) is

$$\frac{dL_+^k}{dt_l} - \frac{dL_+^l}{dt_k} = [L_+^l, L_+^k] \quad (2)$$

remains valid for the our generalized KP hierarchies. We expand

$$L = \partial + u_{-1}\partial^{-1} + u_{-2}\partial^{-2} + \dots$$

where $\forall k, u_k \in \widehat{A}$. For the (t_1, t_2) and the (t_1, t_3) variables, we deduce from (2) $\frac{du_{-1}}{dt_1} = \partial u_{-1}$ and $\frac{du_{-2}}{dt_1} = \partial u_{-2}$ which here only formally integrates to

$$\begin{cases} u_{-1}(t_1, t_2, \dots) = \exp(t_1\partial)u_{-1}(0, t_2, \dots) \\ u_{-2}(t_1, t_2, \dots) = \exp(t_1\partial)u_{-2}(0, t_2, \dots) \end{cases}$$

They are trivially well-posed.

Zakharov-Shabbat equations (2)

For the (t_2, t_3) variables, we deduce from (2) the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_{-1}}{dt_2} = \partial^2 u_{-1} + 2\partial u_{-2} \\ 3\frac{du_{-2}}{dt_2} - 2\frac{du_{-1}}{dt_3} = [u_{-1}, u_{-2}] - 6\partial u_{-1}u_{-1} - 2\partial^3 u_{-1} - 3\partial^2 u_{-2} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Theorem

*The KP hierarchy (1) with initial condition
 $L_0 = \partial + u_{-1}(0)\partial^{-1} + u_{-2}(0)\partial^{-2}$ has a solution
 $L = \partial + u_{-1}\partial^{-1} + u_{-2}\partial^{-2} + \dots$ such that*

$$(u_{-1}(0, t_2, t_3, 0, \dots), u_{-2}(0, t_2, t_3, 0, \dots))$$

is the solution of (3). Moreover, the problem is well-posed.

Comments on the classical KP-II equation

If $A = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, with $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$, one can deduce from (3) the classical KP-II equation

$$\frac{1}{2}u_{yy} = \left(-\frac{1}{6}u_{xxx} - uu_x + \frac{2}{3}u_t \right)_x$$

BUT

- the KP hierarchy has functions in the variable x as initial values
- while the KP-II equation has functions in the variables (x, y) as initial values.

This is the reason why the classical KP hierarchy only produce a class of solutions for KP-II equations.

Examples of equations (1): on commutative algebras

If A is commutative, $[u_1, u_2] = 0$ in (3).

- If $\partial = \nabla$ is the gradient on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with coordinatewise multiplication, one consequence of (3) is

$$-2\frac{du}{dt} + 6u\nabla u + \nu\Delta u = \nabla h,$$

while **the constraint** $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$ **does not seem to be a consequence of (3)**. Adding this constraint, we get the Navier-Stokes equation.

- If $A = C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R}) + i\epsilon(D)C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ where $\epsilon(D)$ is the sign of the Dirac operator with symbol $\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}$ give also a KP-like equation, related to the KP hierarchy on a complexification of $\Psi DO(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Its full study and formulation is under progress.

Examples of equations (2): on non-commutative algebras

We have well-posedness of all these sample equations for a family of initial conditions:

- The case $A = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is already described in the literature, but we state well-posedness.
- If $\partial^2 = 0$, we get $4\partial_t \partial u - 3\partial_y^2 u - \partial_y [4\partial_y^{-1} \partial u, 3u] = 0$
- If (A, \star) is the algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)[[h]]$ equipped with Moyal product \star , we recover Hamanaka's Moyal KP equation

$$3u_{yy} = \partial (4u_t - 3(\partial u \star u + u \star \partial u) - \partial^3 u) + 3\partial [u, \partial^{-1} u_y] .$$

- If $A = \Psi DO(\mathbb{R}[[X]])$, $\partial = [X, \cdot]$ is a derivation on A and the equation (3) reads as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_{-1}}{dt_2} = [X, [X, u_{-1}] - 2[X, u_{-2}] \\ 3\frac{du_{-2}}{dt_2} - 2\frac{du_{-1}}{dt_3} = [u_{-1}, u_{-2}] + 6[X, u_{-1}]u_{-1} + 2[X, [X, [X, u_{-1}]]] \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Perspectives

- Applications to diffieties and to solutions of PDEs (partially accepted, a refined study on the elementary diffiety is a part of a more important work to be pre-published in November 2022, 23+€)
- Full study of generalized equations, maybe with generalized initial values and/or production of the same results in more extended “algebras”
- KP hierarchy on non-formal PDOs.
Already available as a preprint: the action of $Diff_+(S^1)$ on non-formal Sato operators is in relation with a well-posed (rescaled) non-formal KP hierarchy
- and more...

Thank you for your attention!

Main references:

- Magnot, J-P.; Int. J. Geom. Meth. Math. Phys. (2013)
- Eslami-Rad, A.; Magnot, J-P.; Reyes, E.; J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. (2017)
- Magnot, J-P.; Reyes, E.G.; Ann. H. Poincaré (2020)
- Magnot, J-P.; Rubtsov, V.; Theoret. Math. Phys. (2021)
- Magnot, J-P.; Reyes, E.G.; Rubtsov, V.; J. Math. Phys. (2022)