Projective geometry of homogeneous second order Hamiltonian operators

R. Vitolo Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica 'E. De Giorgi' Università del Salento, and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

J.S. Krasil'shchik's Seminar Independent University of Moscow, Russia 19 October 2022

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

#### Hamiltonian PDEs

An evolutionary system of PDEs

$$F = u_t^i - f^i(t, x, u^j, u_x^j, u_{xx}^j, \ldots) = 0$$

admits a Hamiltonian formulation if there exist A,  $\mathcal{H} = \int h \, dx$ such that

$$u_t^i = A^{ij} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u^j}\right)$$

where  $A = (A^{ij})$  is a Hamiltonian operator, i.e. a matrix of differential operators  $A^{ij} = A^{ij\sigma}\partial_{\sigma}$ , where  $\partial_{\sigma} = \partial_x \circ \cdots \circ \partial_x$  (total *x*-derivatives  $\sigma$  times), such that

$$\{F,G\}_A = \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta u^i} A^{ij\sigma} \partial_\sigma \frac{\delta G}{\delta u^j} dx$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

is a Poisson bracket (skew-symmetric and Jacobi).

First-order homogeneous operators were introduced in 1983 by Dubrovin and Novikov for the Hamiltonian formalism of quasilinear first-order equations

$$u_t^i = v_j^i(\mathbf{u})u_x^j = A_1^{ij}\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta u^j} \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \int h(\mathbf{u})dx$$

 $\mathbf{u} = (u^i(t, x)), i, j = 1, \dots, n \text{ (n-components)}.$  The operators are of the form

$$A_1^{ij} = g^{ij}(\mathbf{u})\partial_x + b_k^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_x^k$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

Homogeneity: deg  $\partial_x = 1$ . Canonical form:  $A_1^{ij} = \eta^{ij} \partial_x$ . Higher order homogeneous operators were introduced in 1984 by Dubrovin and Novikov. In particular, the cases under consideration here are the second-order and third-order homogeneous operators:

$$\begin{split} A_2^{ij} = & g_2^{ij}(\mathbf{u})\partial_x^2 + b_{2k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_x^k\partial_x \\ & + c_{2k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{xx}^k + c_{2km}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_x^ku_x^m, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3}^{ij} = & g_{3}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})\partial_{x}^{3} + b_{3k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{x}^{k}\partial_{x}^{2} \\ &+ [c_{3k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{xx}^{k} + c_{3km}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{x}^{k}u_{x}^{m}]\partial_{x} \\ &+ d_{3k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{xxx}^{k} + d_{3km}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{x}^{k}u_{xx}^{m} + d_{3kmn}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})u_{x}^{k}u_{x}^{m}u_{x}^{n}. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Two main mechanisms generate many bi-Hamiltonian systems from higher-order homogeneous operators:

- ► Compatible triples (regular mechanism):
  - with third-order operators: KdV, Camassa-Holm, dispersive water waves (Antonowicz-Fordy 1989), coupled Harry-Dym, etc..
  - with second-order operators: AKNS, 2-component Camassa-Holm, Kaup–Broer (Kuperschmidt 1984), etc..
- Compatible pairs (*singular mechanism*):
  - with third-order operators: Monge–Ampère, WDVV, Oriented Associativity (or *F*-manifolds) equation (as quasilinear systems of the first order);
  - ▶ with second-order operators: new systems here!

#### Bi-Hamiltonian structures from compatible triples

A classification of bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies which are defined by a triple of mutually compatible Hamiltonian operators was provided by Lorenzoni, Savoldi, V. (JPA 2017). *Examples: scalar case.* We have one third-order operator  $A_3$ , two first order operators  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ :

$$[A_3, P_1] = [A_3, Q_1] = [P_1, Q_1] = 0$$
  
$$P_1 = \partial_x, \qquad Q_1 = 2u\partial_x + u_x, \quad A_3 = \partial_x^3.$$

KdV hierarchy (Magri (1978)):

$$\Pi_{\lambda} = Q_1 + \epsilon^2 A_3 - \lambda P_1 = 2u\partial_x + u_x - \lambda\partial_x + \epsilon^2 \partial_x^3$$

Camassa–Holm hierarchy:

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{\lambda} = Q_1 - \lambda (P_1 + \epsilon^2 A_3) = 2u\partial_x + u_x - \lambda (\partial_x + \epsilon^2 \partial_x^3).$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

Example: 2-component case. We have one second-order operator  $A_2$  and two first-order operators  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ , all of them mutually compatible:

$$P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{x} \\ \partial_{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u\partial_{x} + u_{x} & v\partial_{x} \\ \partial_{x}v & -2\partial_{x} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_{x}^{2} \\ \partial_{x}^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Π<sub>λ</sub> = Q<sub>1</sub> + ε<sup>2</sup>A<sub>2</sub> − λP<sub>1</sub> AKNS (or two-boson) hierarchy;
 Π<sub>λ</sub> = Q<sub>1</sub> − λ(P<sub>1</sub> + ε<sup>2</sup>A<sub>2</sub>) two-component Camassa-Holm hierarchy.

- Give new projective-geometric properties of second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators;
- ▶ classify second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators with a small number of components:  $n \leq 8$ ;
- describe the quasilinear systems of first-order PDEs which are Hamiltonian with respect to the above operators;

 recall and compare analogous results on third-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators. In the non-degenerate case  $(\det(g^{ij}) \neq 0)$  the coefficients  $c_{2k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})$ and  $d_{3k}^{ij}(\mathbf{u})$  transform like linear connections; it can be proved that they are symmetric and flat. In *flat coordinates* we have the canonical forms (Potemin '86, '97; Potemin–Balandin, '01; Doyle '95)

$$A_2^{ij} = \partial_x \circ g_2^{ij} \circ \partial_x,$$

$$A_3^{ij} = \partial_x \circ (g_3^{ij} \partial_x + c_{3k}^{ij} u_x^k) \circ \partial_x,$$

The flat coordinates are Casimirs of the operators  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

#### Second-order operators

Consider the second-order case:

$$A_2^{ij} = \partial_x \circ g^{ij} \circ \partial_x, \tag{1}$$

where  $g^{ij} = g^{ij}(\mathbf{u})$ , i, j = 1, ..., n. The skew-symmetry and Jacobi property of the Poisson brackets defined by the homogeneous second-order Hamiltonian operator A are equivalent to:

$$g_{ij} = T_{ijk}u^k + g_{0ij}, (2)$$

where  $T_{ijk}$  and  $g_{0ij}$  are constant and skew-symmetric (Potemin '86, '97; Doyle '95).

IDEA: try to see if known projective-geometric results from third-order operators (collaboration with E. Ferapontov, M. Pavlov, JGP 2014, IMRN 2016, LMP 2018) can be somehow reproduced.

### New results: projective invariance

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A_2^{ij} = \partial_x \circ g^{ij} \circ \partial_x$  be a homogeneous second-order Hamiltonian operator (in canonical form). Then, reciprocal transformations of projective type

$$d\tilde{x} = \Delta dx, \quad \tilde{u}^i = S^i(u^j) = (S^i_j u^j + S^i_0)/\Delta$$

with  $\Delta = S_i^0 u^i + S_0^0$  preserve the canonical form of second-order homogeneous operators (Vergallo, V., arXiv 2022). The leading coefficient matrix  $g^{ij}$  is transformed (in *lower* indices) as

$$g_{ij} \to \frac{\tilde{g}_{2\,ij}}{\Delta^3}.$$

The canonical form of the operators is preserved (as in the third-order case!).

#### New results: projective interpretation

Let us set

$$T_{n+1\,jk} = -T_{j\,n+1\,k} = T_{jk\,n+1} = g_{jk}^0.$$

We will use Greek indices with the range  $1, \ldots, n+1$ . Then, a projective reciprocal transformation  $(a_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \in SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ induces a transformation

$$T_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\Delta^3} \tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} a^{\alpha}_{\lambda} a^{\beta}_{\mu} a^{\gamma}_{\nu}.$$

Let us identify  $\omega \in \wedge^3(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^*$  with maps:

$$i(\omega) \colon \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \wedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^*, v \mapsto \frac{1}{3} i_v(\omega).$$

In coordinates:  $\omega_{\lambda\mu\nu}dv^{\lambda} \wedge dv^{\mu} \wedge dv^{\nu} \mapsto \omega_{\lambda\mu\nu}v^{\nu}dv^{\lambda} \wedge dv^{\mu}$ .

#### Theorem 2. There is a bijective correspondence between

- homogeneous second-order Hamiltonian operators in canonical form, in dimension n, and
- ▶ 3-forms in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

 $(T_{ijk}u^k + g^0_{ij})du^i \wedge du^j \mapsto \omega_{\lambda\mu\nu}dv^\lambda \wedge dv^\mu \wedge dv^\nu$ 

The bijective correspondence is preserved by projective reciprocal transformations up to a conformal factor.

#### Digression: Plücker's line geometry

Two points  $U, V \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ ,

$$U = [u^1, \dots, u^{n+1}], \qquad V = [v^1, \dots, v^{n+1}]$$

define a line with coordinates  $p^{\lambda\mu} = \det \begin{vmatrix} u^{\lambda} & u^{\mu} \\ v^{\lambda} & v^{\mu} \end{vmatrix}$  inside a new projective space:  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  (Plücker's embedding).

Any 3-form  $\omega \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{C}^{n+1^*}$  defines the following system of linear equations in Plücker's space:

$$i_L(\omega) = 0, \qquad L \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{n+1};$$

in coordinates,  $L = p^{\lambda\mu}\partial_{\lambda} \wedge \partial_{\mu}$  and the system is:  $\omega_{\lambda\mu\nu}p^{\mu\nu} = 0$ .

<□> <0</p>

Intersecting the above system with the Grassmannian

$$\mathbb{G}(2,\mathbb{C}^{n+1})\subset\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$$

we obtain, in the generic case, a linear line congruence, an algebraic variety of dimension n - 1:

$$X_{\omega} = \mathbb{G}(2, \mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \cap \{i_L \omega = 0\}.$$

In De Poi, Faenzi, Mezzetti, Ranestad, Ann. I. Fourier 2017 there is a detailed study of the geometric properties of such varieties. It is remarkable that they are Fano varieties (of index 3).

#### Projective classification

3-forms are classified under the action of  $SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ (Gurevich, 1964). We stress that *n* must be even.

▶ **n** = 2: we have only one operator, defined by the 3-form  $\omega = dv^1 \wedge dv^2 \wedge dv^3$ :

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A = g^{ij} \partial_x^2$$

▶ n = 4: only one orbit with representative

$$\omega = dv^5 \wedge (dv^1 \wedge dv^2 + dv^3 \wedge dv^4),$$

defines a non-degenerate operator, namely

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x^2.$$
(3)

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

▶ n = 6: the open orbit is generated by

$$\omega = dv^1 \wedge dv^2 \wedge dv^3 + dv^4 \wedge dv^5 \wedge dv^6 + dv^7 \wedge (dv^1 \wedge dv^4 + dv^2 \wedge dv^5 + dv^3 \wedge dv^6).$$
(4)

Then, using the affine projection  $v^7 = 1, dv^7 = 0$ :

$$i(\omega) = \frac{1}{3}(v^3 dv^1 \wedge dv^2 - v^2 dv^1 \wedge dv^3 + v^3 dv^1 \wedge dv^2 + (5))$$

$$v^{4}dv^{5} \wedge dv^{6} - v^{5}dv^{4} \wedge dv^{6} + v^{6}dv^{4} \wedge dv^{5} + \qquad (6)$$

$$dv^1 \wedge dv^4 + dv^2 \wedge dv^5 + dv^3 \wedge dv^6) \tag{7}$$

▶ n = 6 (continued): the associated 2-form is (up to a factor)

$$g_{ij}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v^{3} & -v^{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -v^{3} & 0 & v^{1} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ v^{2} & -v^{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v^{6} & -v^{5} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -v^{6} & 0 & v^{4} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & v^{5} & -v^{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

and  $\det(g_{ij}^1) = (v^1v^4 + v^2v^5 + v^3v^6 - 1)^2$ . There are 4 more closed orbits that lead to non-degenerate Hamiltonian operators; the representatives do not depend on parameters.

#### Projective classification

 $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{8}$ : we use the classification of trivectors in dimension 9 (Vinberg–Elashvili 1988). Every trivector can be represented as a sum p + e, where p is *semisimple* and e is *nilpotent*, with  $p \wedge e = 0$  (Jordan decomposition). Semisimple trivectors are generated by linear combinations

$$p = \lambda_1 p_1 + \lambda_2 p_2 + \lambda_3 p_3 + \lambda_4 p_4$$
, with

$$p_{1} = dv^{1} \wedge dv^{2} \wedge dv^{3} + dv^{4} \wedge dv^{5} \wedge dv^{6} + dv^{7} \wedge dv^{8} \wedge dv^{9}$$

$$p_{2} = dv^{1} \wedge dv^{4} \wedge dv^{7} + dv^{2} \wedge dv^{5} \wedge dv^{8} + dv^{3} \wedge dv^{6} \wedge dv^{9}$$

$$p_{3} = dv^{1} \wedge dv^{5} \wedge dv^{9} + dv^{2} \wedge dv^{6} \wedge dv^{7} + dv^{3} \wedge dv^{4} \wedge dv^{8}$$

$$p_{4} = dv^{1} \wedge dv^{6} \wedge dv^{8} + dv^{2} \wedge dv^{4} \wedge dv^{9} + dv^{3} \wedge dv^{5} \wedge dv^{7}$$

and  $\lambda_i$  satisfy a system of algebraic inequalities.

 $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{8}$  (continued): there are 132 classes of trivectors that yield non-degenerate leading coefficients of second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators.

 $n \geqslant 10 \mbox{ wild!}$  Too many parameters.

### Projective invariance of compatible triples

Consider a reciprocal transformations of projective type:

$$d\tilde{x} = \Delta dx, \quad \tilde{u}^i = S^i(u^j) = (S^i_j u^j + S^i_0)/\Delta$$

where  $\Delta = S_j^0 u^j + S_0^0$ . Then, in triples/pairs of homogeneous Hamiltonian operators  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $A_{2/3}$ ,

► A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> transform into new second-order and third-order homogenous Hamiltonian operators in canonical

$$A_2^{ij} = \partial_x g_2^{ij} \partial_x, \quad A_3^{ij} = \partial_x \circ (g_3^{ij} \partial_x + c_{3\,k}^{ij} u_x^k) \circ \partial_x;$$

 P<sub>1</sub> (or Q<sub>1</sub>) transform into new non-local first order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators (Ferapontov 1991).

**Problem:** projective classification and geometric significance of triples! Initiated in Lorenzoni, Savoldi, V. JPA 2017.

**Problem**: find systems that admit a Hamiltonian formulation by a second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operator.

Inspired by a well-known result: **Theorem** (Tsarev, 1985) A quasilinear first-order system

$$u_t^i = V_j^i(u^k)u_x^j$$

admits a first-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operator  ${\cal P}_1$  if and only if

$$g_1^{ik}V_k^j = g_1^{jk}V_k^i, \qquad \nabla^i V_k^j = \nabla^j V_k^i$$

we use a technique by Kersten, Krasil'shchik and Verbovetsky (JGP 2004) to generalize it to higher-order homogeneous operators.

**Theorem** A quasilinear first-order system of conservation laws admits

 (Vergallo, V. DGA 2021, arXiv 2022) a second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operator A<sub>2</sub> if and only if

$$g_{2qj}V_{,p}^{j} + g_{2pj}V_{,q}^{j} = 0, g_{2qk}V_{,pl}^{k} + g_{2pq,k}V_{,l}^{k} + g_{2qk,l}V_{,p}^{k} = 0.$$

 (Ferapontov, Pavlov, V. LMP 2018) a second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operator A<sub>3</sub> if and only if

$$g_{3im}V_{,j}^{m} = g_{3jm}V_{,i}^{m}$$

$$c_{3mkj}V_{,i}^{m} + c_{3mik}V_{,j}^{m} + c_{3mji}V_{,k}^{m} = 0,$$

$$V_{,ij}^{k} = g_{3}^{ks}c_{3smj}V_{,i}^{m} + g_{3}^{ks}c_{3smi}V_{,j}^{m}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

## Hamiltonian systems of conservation laws, singular mechanism

**Theorem** (Vergallo, V. arXiv 2022) All systems of conservation laws that admit a second-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operator  $A_2$  in canonical form

$$u_t^i = (V^i(u^k))_x = A_2^{ij} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u^j}$$

have the fluxes:

$$V^{i}(u^{k}) = g_{2}^{ij}(W_{jl}u^{l} + B_{j}), \qquad W_{jl} = -W_{lj},$$

where  $W_{jl}$ ,  $B_j$  are arbitrary constants. It turns out that the fluxes are rational functions. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian  $(V_{,j}^i)$  are double. The Hamiltonian is nonlocal: if  $b_x^i = u^i$ , then

$$H = -\int \left(\frac{1}{2}W_{sl}b_x^l + B_s\right)b^s\,dx.$$

The Haantjes tensor of  $V_{j}^{i}$  vanishes identically:  $H_{jk}^{i} = 0$ .

#### Further properties of the Hamiltonian systems

- ▶ The systems can be identified with a linear line congruence in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ : for this reason (Agafonov and Ferapontov, ~1990), the systems are linearly degenerate.
- ▶ The systems are: linearizable when n = 4, just one non linearizable when n = 6, a non-linearizable 4-parameter family (with subfamilies) when n = 8.
- Experiments show that random systems in dimension n = 6, n = 8 are diagonalizable, hence semi-Hamiltonian (Sevennec 1993).

#### Example

The operator  $A_2 = \partial_x g^{ij} \partial_x$  defined by

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^3 & -u^2 & 0\\ -u^3 & 0 & u^1 & 0\\ u^2 & -u^1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

defines the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t^1 = \left(\frac{c_4 (u^1)^2 + (c_1 u^2 + c_2 u^3 + c_8) u^1 + c_{10} u^3 - c_1 u^1 - c_2}{u^3}\right)_x \\ u_t^2 = \left(\frac{c_1 (u^2)^2 + (c_3 u^3 + c_4 u^1 + c_8) u^2 + c_9 u^3 + c_4 u^4 + c_6}{u^3}\right)_x \\ u^3 = (c_1 u^2 + c_3 u^3 + c_4 u^1 + c_7)_x \\ u_t^4 = \left(\frac{(c_1 u^2 + c_3 u^3 + c_4 u^1) u^4 + c_2 u^2 + c_5 u^3 + c_6 u^1}{u^3}\right)_x \end{cases}$$
(10)

where  $c_i$  are parameters,  $i = 1, \ldots, 10$ .

# Comparison with third-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators

Third-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators (results by Ferapontov, Pavlov, V.):

- are canonical form-invariant with respect to reciprocal projective transformations;
- are classified up to a number of components  $n \leq 4$ ;
- correspond to quadratic line complexes and split as the square of a linear line complex;
- define Hamiltonian systems of first-order conservation laws that are linearly degenerate and non-diagonalizable.

Integrable PDEs	Projective Geometry
Third-order Hamiltonian op.	quadratic line complex
Second-order Hamiltonian op.	linear line congruence
Quasilinear system	linear line congruence
First-order compatible Ham. op.	???

Triples  $P_1$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $A_{2/3}$  and pairs  $P_1$ ,  $A_{2/3}$  of compatible operators are invariant under projective reciprocal transformations (provided we allow for nonlocal Ferapontov operators in the orbit). The projective-geometric invariance of the corresponding hierarchies has *implications that are yet to be understood*.

### Thank you!

 $Contacts: \verb"raffaele.vitolo@unisalento.it"$