

Sub-Riemannian geometry on the group of motions of the plane

Yu. Sachkov

in collaboration with

A. Ardentov, G. Bor, E. Le Donne, R. Montgomery

"Diffieties, Cohomological Physics, and Other Animals"


Alexandre Vinogradov Memorial Conference

December 13–17, 2021

Plan of the talk

1. Problem statement
2. Geodesics
3. Local and global optimality of geodesics
4. Cut time, cut locus, spheres
5. Bicycle model, Euler elasticae, infinite geodesics
6. Isometries, homogeneous and equioptimal geodesics
7. Application to anthropomorphic curve restoration

Problem statement:
Optimal motion of a mobile robot in the plane



$$q(0) = q_0, \quad q(t_1) = q_1, \quad l = \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \alpha^2 \dot{\theta}^2} dt \rightarrow \min, \quad \alpha = 1$$

Optimal control problem

$$\dot{x} = u \cos \theta, \quad \dot{y} = u \sin \theta, \quad \dot{\theta} = v,$$

$$(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \theta \in \mathcal{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$q = (x, y, \theta) \in M = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^1,$$


$$(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$q(0) = q_0, \quad q(t_1) = q_1,$$

$$I = \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} dt \rightarrow \min.$$

Continuous symmetries of the problem

- rotations
- translations



Group of motions (rototranslations) of a plane

$$\text{SE}(2) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \text{SO}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & x \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \theta \in S^1 \right\}$$

Left-invariant frame on $\text{SE}(2)$:

$$X_1(q) = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

$$X_2(q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta},$$

$$X_3(q) = [X_1, X_2](q) = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Left-invariant sub-Riemannian problem on SE(2)

$$\dot{q} = uX_1(q) + vX_2(q), \quad q \in G = \text{SE}(2), \quad (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$q(0) = q_0, \quad q(t_1) = q_1,$$


$$l = \int_0^{t_1} (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} dt \rightarrow \min.$$

- Sub-Riemannian structure on SE(2):

$$\Delta = \text{span}(X_1, X_2),$$

$$\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

- Unique contact left-invariant sub-Riemannian structure on SE(2), up to local isometries.



3D sub-Riemannian problems

- Left-invariant problem on the Heisenberg group (A.Vershik, V.Gershkovich, 1987),
- Contact problems in \mathbb{R}^3 : local study (A.Agrachev 1996; J.-P.Gauthier 1996),
- Flat Martinet case (A.Agrachev, B.Bonnard, M.Chyba, I.Kupka 1997),
- Left-invariant problems on $SO(3)$, $SU(2)$, $SL(2)$ (V.Berestovsky, I. Zubareva 2001; U.Boscain, F.Rossi 2008),
- Left-invariant problem on $SE(2)$ (Yu. Sachkov, I.Moiseev 2010, 2011),
- Left-invariant problem on $SH(2)$ (Yu. Sachkov, Y.A.Butt, A.I. Bhatti 2014–2017),
- All the rest contact left-invariant 3D sub-Riemannian structures (one-parameter family) not studied, integrable in elliptic integrals of the 3-rd kind.

Existence of solutions

- $\dot{q} = uX_1(q) + vX_2(q)$,
 $\text{span}(X_1(q), X_2(q), [X_1, X_2](q)) = T_qG \quad \forall q \in G$
 \Rightarrow complete controllability (Rashevskii-Chow theorem)
- Filippov's theorem
 \Rightarrow existence of minimizers $q(t)$.

Pontryagin maximum principle


- Abnormal extremal trajectories constant.
- Normal extremals:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\gamma} &= c, & \dot{c} &= -\sin \gamma, & (\gamma, c) &\in C \cong (2S^1_\gamma) \times \mathbb{R}_c, \\ \dot{x} &= \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \cos \theta, & \dot{y} &= \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \theta, & \dot{\theta} &= -\cos \frac{\gamma}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

- Integrable in Jacobi's elliptic functions.

Stratification of phase cylinder of pendulum $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^5 C_i$

- Energy integral $E = c^2/2 - \cos \gamma \in [-1, +\infty)$
- $C_1 = \{\lambda \in C \mid E \in (-1, 1)\}$,
- $C_2 = \{\lambda \in C \mid E \in (1, +\infty)\}$,
- $C_3 = \{\lambda \in C \mid E = 1, c \neq 0\}$,
- $C_4 = \{\lambda \in C \mid E = -1\}$,
- $C_5 = \{\lambda \in C \mid E = 1, c = 0\}$.



Parametrisation of geodesics

- $\lambda = (\gamma, c) \in C_1 \Rightarrow$

$$\theta_t = s_1(\operatorname{am} \varphi - \operatorname{am} \varphi_t) \pmod{2\pi},$$

$$x_t = (s_1/k)[\operatorname{cn} \varphi(\operatorname{dn} \varphi - \operatorname{dn} \varphi_t) + \operatorname{sn} \varphi(t + E(\varphi) - E(\varphi_t))],$$

$$y_t = (1/k)[\operatorname{sn} \varphi(\operatorname{dn} \varphi - \operatorname{dn} \varphi_t) - \operatorname{cn} \varphi(t + E(\varphi) - E(\varphi_t))].$$

- $\lambda = (\gamma, c) \in C_2 \Rightarrow$

$$\cos \theta_t = k^2 \operatorname{sn} \psi \operatorname{sn} \psi_t + \operatorname{dn} \psi \operatorname{dn} \psi_t,$$

$$\sin \theta_t = k(\operatorname{sn} \psi \operatorname{dn} \psi_t - \operatorname{dn} \psi \operatorname{sn} \psi_t),$$

$$x_t = s_2 k[\operatorname{dn} \psi(\operatorname{cn} \psi - \operatorname{cn} \psi_t) + \operatorname{sn} \psi(t/k + E(\psi) - E(\psi_t))],$$

$$y_t = s_2[k^2 \operatorname{sn} \psi(\operatorname{cn} \psi - \operatorname{cn} \psi_t) - \operatorname{dn} \psi(t/k + E(\psi) - E(\psi_t))].$$

- $\lambda = (\gamma, c) \in C_3 \cup C_4 \cup C_5 \Rightarrow$ hyperbolic and linear functions.

Geodesics: generic cases




Figure: Non-inflectional curves, $\lambda \in C_1$




Figure: Inflectional curves, $\lambda \in C_2$

Geodesics: special cases




Figure: Tractrix,
 $\lambda \in C_3$




Figure: e^{tX_2} , $\lambda \in C_4$



Figure: e^{tX_1} , $\lambda \in C_5$

Optimality of geodesics

$q(t)$ is **locally** optimal:

$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall$ arclength-parameterized trajectory \tilde{q} :

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{q} - q\|_C < \varepsilon, \\ & q(0) = \tilde{q}(0), \quad q(t_1) = \tilde{q}(\tilde{t}_1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_1 \leq \tilde{t}_1 \end{aligned}$$

$q(t)$ is **globally** optimal:

\forall arclength-parameterized trajectory \tilde{q} :

$$q(0) = \tilde{q}(0), \quad q(t_1) = \tilde{q}(\tilde{t}_1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_1 \leq \tilde{t}_1$$

Loss of optimality

- Strong Legendre condition:

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_u^{-1}}{\partial u^2} < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{short arcs } q(t) \text{ are optimal.}$$

That is, extremal trajectories are geodesics.

- Cut time:

$$t_{\text{cut}}(q) = \sup\{t > 0 \mid q(s) \text{ is optimal for } s \in [0, t]\}.$$

Reasons for loss of optimality: (1) Maxwell point

Maxwell point q_t :

$$\exists \text{ geodesic } \tilde{q}_s \neq q_s : \quad q_0 = \tilde{q}_0, \quad q_t = \tilde{q}_t$$





Figure: $t_2 < t_1$

Reasons for loss of optimality: (2) Conjugate point

$q(t) \in$ envelope of the family of geodesics




$$t_{\text{cut}} = \min(t_{\text{Max}}, t_{\text{conj}})$$

Reflections ε^i in the phase cylinder of pendulum $\ddot{\gamma} = -\sin \gamma$

- Group of symmetries of parallelepiped

$$\text{Sym} = \{\text{Id}, \varepsilon^1, \dots, \varepsilon^7\} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- Action of reflections $\varepsilon^i : \delta \mapsto \delta^i$ on trajectories of pendulum:



Action of reflections ε^i on curves (x_t, y_t) modulo rotations




Figure: $\varepsilon^1, \varepsilon^2$




Figure: $\varepsilon^4, \varepsilon^7$





Figure: $\varepsilon^5, \varepsilon^6$

Maxwell points corresponding to reflections

- Fixed points of reflections ε^i :

$$t = t_{\varepsilon^i}^n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 7, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Upper bound of cut time: $t_{\text{cut}} \leq t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}} := \min(t_{\varepsilon^i}^1)$.
- Plot of function $t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}} = t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}}(E)$:



Exponential mapping and conjugate points

- Exponential mapping

$$\text{Exp} : (\lambda, t) = (\gamma, c, t) \mapsto q(t),$$

$$\text{Exp} : N = C \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow M$$

- q — conjugate point $\iff q$ — critical value of Exp
- The first conjugate time

$$t_{\text{conj}}^1(\lambda) = \min\{t > 0 \mid q(t) = \text{Exp}(\lambda, t) \text{ conjugate point}\}.$$

Bounds of conjugate time

- Trajectories without inflexion points:

$$\lambda \in C_1 \cup C_3 \cup C_4 \cup C_5 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\text{conj}}^1(\lambda) = +\infty.$$



- Trajectories with inflexion points:

$$\lambda \in C_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_{\varepsilon^6}^1(\lambda) \geq t_{\text{conj}}^1(\lambda) \geq t_{\varepsilon^2}^1(\lambda) = t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}}(\lambda).$$


- \Rightarrow Global bound

$$t_{\text{conj}}^1(\lambda) \geq t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in C.$$

Global structure of exponential mapping



Exp
→



Cut time and cut points

$$t_{\text{cut}}(\lambda) = t_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Sym}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} t_{\varepsilon^5}^1 = 2K(k) = T/2, & \lambda \in C_1, \\ t_{\varepsilon^2}^1 = 2kp_1^1(k) \in (T, 2T), & \lambda \in C_2, \\ +\infty, & \lambda \in C_3 \cup C_5, \\ t_{\varepsilon^5}^1 = \pi = T/2, & \lambda \in C_4 \end{cases}$$

$$p = p_1^1(k) : \quad \text{cn}(p, k)(E(p, k) - p) - \text{dn}(p, k) \text{sn}(p, k) = 0$$




Figure: $\lambda \in C_1$




Figure: $\lambda \in C_2$




Figure: $\lambda \in C_4$

Infinite geodesics

Definition


A geodesic $q(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is called an *infinite geodesic* if any its subarc $q(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, is a minimizer.

Proposition

A geodesic in $SE(2)$ is an infinite geodesic if and only if its projection to the plane (x, y) is:

- (1) a line, or
- (2) a tractrix.

Cut locus in rectifying coordinates (R_1, R_2, θ)



Cut locus: global view




Minimizers — one-parameter subgroups

$$x_1 \neq 0, \quad y_1 = 0, \quad \theta_1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad q(t) = e^{tX_1} :$$




$$x_1 = 0, \quad y_1 = 0, \quad \theta_1 \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad q(t) = e^{tX_2} :$$





Minimizers

$$x_1 \neq 0, \quad y_1 = 0, \quad \theta_1 = \pi$$



Minimizers

$$x_1 = 0, \quad y_1 \neq 0, \quad \theta_1 = 0$$




Minimizers

Generic boundary conditions:

systems of equations in Jacobi's functions \Rightarrow

\Rightarrow software (MATHEMATICA).

The first sub-Riemannian caustic
 $\{\text{Exp}(\lambda, t) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, t = t_{\text{conj}}^1(\lambda)\}$




Sub-Riemannian spheres


- $d(q_0, q_1) = \inf\{l(q(\cdot)) \mid q(0) = q_0, q(t_1) = q_1\},$
- $S_R = \{q \in M \mid d(q_0, q) = R\},$
- $R = 0 \Rightarrow S_R = \{q_0\},$
- $R \in (0, \pi) \Rightarrow S_R \cong S^2,$
- $R = \pi \Rightarrow S_R \cong S^2 / \{N = S\},$
- $R > \pi \Rightarrow S_R \cong T^2.$

Global structure of sub-Riemannian spheres:

$$R < \pi, \quad R = \pi, \quad R > \pi$$



Model of bicycle




- Configuration space:

$$Q = \{(b, f) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \|f - b\| = l\} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \cong SE(2),$$


$l = 1.$

- No-skid condition: $\forall t \exists a \in \mathbb{R} : \dot{b} = a(f - b).$
- What are the shortest paths of the front wheel f of the bicycle?

Car-like robot with trailer



Car-like robot with two driving wheels and a spherical wheel



Rickshaw



Sub-Riemannian problem (f) for the front wheel

State space:

$$SE(2) = \{q_f = (x_f, y_f, \theta_b) \in \mathbb{R}_f^2 \times S_b^1\}.$$

Control system:

$$\dot{x}_f = u_1,$$

$$\dot{y}_f = u_2,$$

$$\dot{\theta}_b = -u_1 \sin \theta_b + u_2 \cos \theta_b,$$

where $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Boundary conditions:

$$q_f(0) = q_f^0, \quad q_f(t_1) = q_f^1.$$

Sub-Riemannian length:

$$l_f = \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} dt \rightarrow \min.$$

Sub-Riemannian problem (b) for the back wheel

- State space:

$$SE(2) = \{q_b = (x_b, y_b, \theta_b) \in \mathbb{R}_b^2 \times S_b^1\}.$$

- Control system:

$$\dot{x}_b = u_b \cos \theta_b,$$

$$\dot{y}_b = u_b \sin \theta_b,$$

$$\dot{\theta}_b = v_b,$$

where $(u_b, v_b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

- Boundary conditions:

$$q_b(0) = q_b^0, \quad q_b(t_1) = q_b^1.$$

- Sub-Riemannian length:

$$l_b = \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{u_b^2 + v_b^2} dt \rightarrow \min.$$

- Exactly the sub-Riemannian problem on $SE(2)$ studied above.

Isomorphism between the problems (b) and (f)

$$x_b = x_f - \cos \theta_b,$$

$$y_b = y_f - \sin \theta_b,$$

$$u_b = u_1 \cos \theta_b + u_2 \sin \theta_b,$$

$$v_b = -u_1 \sin \theta_b + u_2 \cos \theta_b,$$


$$l_b = l_f.$$

Example of correspondence
between solutions to the problems (f) and (b):
line and tractrix

Geodesics for the problem (f)

Theorem

Projection (x_f, y_f) of a geodesic for the problem (f) is either a straight line, or a non-inflectional Euler's elastica of width ≤ 2 , including circle and Euler's soliton. Any form of a non-inflectional elastica is possible.




Inflectional

Euler's
soliton

Non-inflectional

Euler's sketches of elasticae (1744)



Definitions of Euler's elasticae

1. Elastica is a planar curve whose curvature is a linear function of its distance to a fixed line in the plane.
2. Elastica is a planar curve whose curvature κ satisfies the ODE

$$\ddot{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 + A\kappa = 0, \quad A \equiv \text{const.}$$

3. Elastica is a curve (x, y) that satisfies the ODEs

$$\dot{x} = \cos \theta,$$

$$\dot{y} = \sin \theta,$$

$$\ddot{\theta} = -r \sin \theta, \quad r \equiv \text{const.}$$


Flipping a bike about its back wheel

Lemma

The map

$$\Phi : Q \rightarrow Q, \quad (b, f) \mapsto (b, 2b - f)$$

which 'flips' the bike frame about the back wheel is a global sub-Riemannian isometry of Q .




Flipping the line to Euler's soliton

Infinite geodesics in the problem (f)

An infinitely long bike path is an infinite geodesic if and only if it is one of the following two types:

- (1) its front track is a straight line and its back track is a tractrix or a straight line, or
- (2) its front track is an Euler soliton of width twice the bike length and its back track is a tractrix.

There is an isometric involution of the bicycle configuration space which takes paths of one type to paths of the other, provided the back track of the path is a tractrix and not a line.



The two infinite minimizing bike paths share the tractrix (red) as a common back track; the two front tracks are a straight line (dashed blue) and an 'Euler's soliton' (solid blue).

Isometries

Theorem

The group $\text{Isom}(Q)$ of all isometries of Q is an extension of $E(2)$ by the two-element group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This two-element group is generated by the isometric involution Φ which 'flips the bike frame'. Thus

$$\text{Isom}(Q) \simeq E(2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq SE(2) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

The identity component of $\text{Isom}(Q)$ is $SE(2)$. $SE(2)$ acts freely and transitively on Q and so induces a sub-Riemannian isometry between Q and a left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric on $SE(2)$.

Homogeneous geodesics and geodesically orbital spaces

Definition

A geodesic γ in a sub-Riemannian manifold M is called *homogeneous*, if it is a homogeneous space of a one-parameter subgroup $\{\varphi_s \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \text{Isom}(M)$:

1. $\forall s \in \mathbb{R} \quad \varphi_s(\gamma) \subset \gamma,$
2. $\forall g_1, g_2 \in \gamma \quad \exists s \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi_s(g_1) = g_2.$

A sub-Riemannian manifold is called *geodesically orbital* if all its geodesics are homogeneous.

Equioptimal geodesics

Definition

Let $\{q(t) \mid t \geq 0\} \subset M$ be a geodesic. An arc $\{q(t) \mid t \in [0, T]\}$ is called a *non-extendable minimizer* if it is a minimiser, but any arc $\{q(t) \mid t \in [0, T + \varepsilon]\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is not a minimizer. In other words, $T = t_{\text{cut}}(q(\cdot))$.

Definition

An arclength-parameterized geodesic $q(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is called *equioptimal*, if it satisfies the following property:

if $q(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a non-extendable minimiser, then for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ the geodesic $q(t + \tau)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is also a non-extendable minimiser.

A sub-Riemannian manifold M is called *equioptimal*, if any its arclength-parameterized geodesic is equioptimal.

Examples of equioptimal Lie groups

Left-invariant sub-Riemannian structures on:

- The Heisenberg group
- $SO(3)$, $SU(2)$, $SL(2)$ with axisymmetric metric,
- $SE(2)$, $SH(2)$,
- The Engel and Cartan groups.

In other words, all left-invariant sub-Riemannian structures where the cut time is known.

Why?

Proposition

If a sub-Riemannian geodesic is homogeneous, then it is equioptimal.

Homogeneous geodesics in SE(2)

Theorem

The only homogeneous geodesics in SE(2) are one-parameter subgroups that are geodesics:

$$e^{tX_1} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{tX_2}.$$





Thus SE(2) is not geodesically orbital, although equioptimal.

The following Lie groups are geodesically orbital and equioptimal:

- The Heisenberg group
- SO(3), SU(2), SL(2) with axisymmetric metric.

What is the geometric reason of equioptimality in the non-geodesically orbital case?

Application: Anthropomorphic restoration of isophotes




Neurogeometry and sub-Riemannian problem on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^1$


- J. Petitot, The neurogeometry of pinwheels as a sub-Riemannian contact structure, *J. Physiology - Paris* 97 (2003), 265–309.
- J. Petitot, *Neurogéométrie de la vision — Modèles mathématiques et physiques des architectures fonctionnelles*, 2008, Editions de l'Ecole Polytechnique.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= u \cos \theta, & \dot{y} &= u \sin \theta, & \dot{\theta} &= v, \\ q &= (x, y, \theta), & (x, y) &\in \mathbb{R}^2, & \theta &\in \mathbb{R}P^1 = \mathbb{R}/(\pi \mathbb{Z}), \\ & & (u, v) &\in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ q(0) &= q_0, & q(t_1) &= q_1, \\ I &= \int_0^{t_1} \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} dt \rightarrow \min. \end{aligned}$$


Optimal solution for the problem on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^1$




Restored curves




Smooth and non-smooth arcs




Initial family of isophotes



Restored family of isophotes



Restored family of isophotes



Publications

<http://control.botik.ru/>

- [1] I. Moiseev, Yu. L. Sachkov, Maxwell strata in sub-Riemannian problem on the group of motions of a plane, *ESAIM: COCV*, 16 (2010), 380–399, available at arXiv:0807.4731 [math.OC].
- [2] Yu. L. Sachkov, Conjugate and cut time in sub-Riemannian problem on the group of motions of a plane, *ESAIM: COCV*, 16 (2010), 1018–1039, available at arXiv:0903.0727 [math.OC].
- [3] Yu. L. Sachkov, Cut locus and optimal synthesis in the sub-Riemannian problem on the group of motions of a plane, *ESAIM: COCV*, 17 (2011), 293–321
- [4] A. Ardentov, G. Bor, E. Le Donne, R. Montgomery, Yu. Sachkov, Bicycle paths, elasticae and sub-Riemannian geometry, *Nonlinearity*, 34 (2021) 4661–4683
- [5] Yu. L. Sachkov, Homogeneous sub-Riemannian geodesics on the group of motions of the plane, *Differential equations*, 2021, Vol. 57, No. 11, pp. 1568–1572