## Quadratic Poisson structures and ODEs on free associative algebras.

Vladimir Rubtsov LAREMA UMR du CNRS 6093, Angers University, France and Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia, volodya@univ-angers.fr

Hradec nad Moravicí, 13.10.2010 (The talk is based on joint paper in progress with A Odesskii and V Sokolov )

## Reference

1. A.V. Odesskii, V. Roubtsov and V. V. Sokolov, *Non-abelian quadratic Poisson brackets*, in preparation. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  be non-commutative generators of the free associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We consider ODE systems of the form

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = F_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \tag{1}$$

where  $F_i$  are (non-commutative) polynomials.

The (infinitesimal) symmetry for (1) is a system

$$\frac{dx_i}{d\tau} = G_i(\mathbf{x}),\tag{2}$$

compatible with (1).

**Definition.** A system (1) is called integrable if it possesses infinitely many symmetries.

**Example 1.** Let N = 2,  $x_1 = u$ ,  $v = x_2$ . The following system

$$u_t = v^2, \qquad v_t = u^2$$

is integrable.

Example 2. Consider the following system

$$u_t = u^2 v - v u^2, \qquad v_t = 0.$$
 (3)

Many important *multi–component* integrable equations on associative algebras can be obtained as reductions of (3).

For instance, if u is  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $u^T = -u$ , and v is a constant diagonal matrix, then (3) is equivalent to the *n*-dimensional Euler top. The integrability of this model was established by S.V. Manakov in 1976. Consider the cyclic reduction

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_2 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & u_{N-1} \\ u_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & J_N \\ J_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & J_{N-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $u_k$  and  $J_k$  are matrices or even generators of a bigger free algebra. Then (3) is equivalent to the non-abelian Volterra equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_k = u_k u_{k+1} J_{k+1} - J_{k-1} u_{k-1} u_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_k.$$
  
If we assume  $N = 3$ ,  $J_1 = J_2 = J_3 = Id$  and  $u_3 = -u_1 - u_2$  then the latter system yields

$$u_t = u^2 + uv + vu$$
,  $v_t = -v^2 - uv - vu$ .

**Example 3.** Let N = 3. Consider the system  $x_t = [x, bxa + axb + xba + bax], a_t = 0, b_t = 0.$ On the free associative algebra this system is **non**-integrable. However it is integrable on the associative algebra with identities  $a^2 = b^2 = 1$ .

The system admits the following skew-symmetric reduction

$$x^T = -x, \qquad b = a^T,$$

which leads to a non-abelian Steklov top (Odesskii-VS).

## Hamiltonian structures.

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the associative algebra of operators on  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $L_{x_i}$  and  $R_{x_i}$ , where

$$L_a(y) = a y, \qquad R_a(y) = y a$$

are the operators of left and right multiplication by a. We call  $\mathcal{O}$  the algebra of local operators.

It follows from the associativity of algebra  ${\mathcal A}$  that

$$R_a L_b = L_b R_a, \quad L_{ab} = L_a L_b, \quad R_{ab} = R_b R_a,$$
$$L_{\alpha a + \beta b} = \alpha L_a + \beta L_b, \qquad R_{\alpha a + \beta b} = \alpha R_a + \beta R_b.$$

**Definition 1** The traces for elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined as the corresponding elements of of quotient space  $\mathcal{A}/K$ , where K is the vector space spanned by all commutators in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $a - b \in K$ , we write  $a \sim b$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $a(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then grad(tra) is the vector uniquely defined by:

 $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}a(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \,\delta \mathbf{x})|_{\epsilon=0} \sim <\delta \mathbf{x}, \operatorname{grad} a(\mathbf{x})) >,$ where  $<(p_1, \dots, p_N), (q_1, \dots, q_N) >= p_1q_1 + \dots + p_Nq_N.$ 

If  $a \sim b$ , (or, the same, tr a = tr b), then grad a = grad b.

**Definition 3** We shall call  $\Theta \in \mathcal{O} \otimes gl_N$  a local Hamiltonian operator, if the Poisson bracket

 $\{a,b\} = < \operatorname{grad} a, \, \Theta(\operatorname{grad} b) >, \quad a,b \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies conditions

 $\{a,b\}+\{b,a\}\sim \mathsf{O},$ 

 $\{a, \{b, c\}\} + \{b, \{c, a\}\} + \{c, \{a, b\}\} \sim 0$ for any elements  $a, b, c \in A$ .

We call such bracket non-abelian Poisson bracket.

**Example 2** (continuation). The system (3) can be written in the Hamiltonian form

$$u_t = \Theta(\operatorname{grad}_u H),$$

where  $\Theta = L_u R_v - L_v R_u$ , and  $H = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} u^2$ . Indeed, grad<sub>u</sub>H = u and  $u_t = uuv - vuu$ .

General Hamiltonian equation on  $\mathcal{A}$  has the form

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \Theta \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{tr} H(\mathbf{x})),$$

where H is a Hamiltonian of the equation,  $\Theta$  is a Hamiltonian operator. We study the **local** Hamiltonian operators, i.e. assume that  $\Theta \in \mathcal{O} \otimes gl_N$ .

**Proposition.** Any linear non-abelian Poisson bracket is given by the Hamiltonian operator

$$\Theta_{i,j} = b_{ij}^p L_{x_p} - b_{ji}^p R_{x_p},$$

where  $b_{ij}^p$  are structural constant of an associative algebra.

If  $x_{\alpha}$  are  $m \times m$ -matrices then we can extend the linear non-abelian Poisson bracket to the matrix entries in the following way. We have

$$x_{i,\alpha}^j = \operatorname{tr}(e_j^i x_\alpha), \quad x_{i',\beta}^{j'} = \operatorname{tr}(e_{j'}^{i'} x_\beta),$$

where  $e_j^i$  stand for the matrix unities. We put

$$\{x_{i,\alpha}^j, x_{i',\beta}^{j'}\} = \operatorname{tr}(e_j^i \Theta_{\alpha,\beta}(e_{j'}^{i'})).$$

Using the formula for the Hamiltonian operator, we find

$$\{x_{i,\alpha}^j, x_{i',\beta}^{j'}\} = b_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} x_{i,\gamma}^{j'} \delta_{i'}^j - b_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma} x_{i',\gamma}^j \delta_i^{j'}.$$

Consider quadratic non-abelian Poisson brackets.

**Proposition.** Any quadratic non-abelian Poisson bracket is given by the Hamiltonian operator

$$\Theta_{i,j} = a_{ij}^{pq} L_{xp} L_{xq} - a_{ji}^{qp} R_{xp} R_{xq} + c_{ij}^{pq} L_{xp} R_{xq},$$

where p, q, i, j = 1, ..., N. The constants  $a_{ij}^{pq}$  and  $c_{ij}^{pq}$  satisfy identities

$$c_{ij}^{pq} = -c_{ji}^{qp},$$

 $c_{ij}^{up}c_{pk}^{vw} + c_{jk}^{vp}c_{pi}^{wu} + c_{ki}^{wp}c_{pj}^{uv} = 0,$  $a_{ij}^{pu}a_{kp}^{vw} = a_{ki}^{vp}a_{pj}^{wu},$  $a_{ij}^{pu}a_{pk}^{vw} = a_{ij}^{vp}c_{kp}^{uw} + a_{ip}^{vw}c_{jk}^{pu}.$ 

and

$$a_{ij}^{up}a_{kp}^{vw} = a_{ij}^{pw}c_{pk}^{uv} + a_{pj}^{vw}c_{ki}^{pu}.$$

In the matrix case the extension to the matrix entries is given by

$$\{x_{i,\alpha}^j, x_{i',\beta}^{j'}\} = c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i,\gamma}^{j'} x_{i',\delta}^j + a_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i,\gamma}^k x_{k,\delta}^{j'} \delta_{i'}^j - a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^k x_{k,\delta}^j \delta_{i'}^j - a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^k x_{i',\delta}^j \delta_{i'}^j - a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^k x_{i',\gamma}^j \delta_{i'}^j - a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{\beta,\alpha}^{\gamma,\delta} x_{i',\gamma}^j x_{i',\gamma}^j + a_{$$

Under change of basis  $x_i \rightarrow g_i^j x_j$  the constants are transformed in a standard way:

$$c_{ij}^{kl} \to g_i^{\alpha} g_j^{\beta} h_{\gamma}^k h_{\epsilon}^l c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\epsilon}, \qquad a_{ij}^{kl} \to g_i^{\alpha} g_j^{\beta} h_{\gamma}^k h_{\epsilon}^l a_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\epsilon}.$$
(4)

Here  $g_i^j h_j^k = \delta_i^k$ . Moreover, the system of identities admits the following discrete involution:

$$c_{ij}^{kl} \to c_{ji}^{kl}, \qquad a_{ij}^{kl} \to a_{ji}^{lk}.$$
 (5)

In the matrix case the involution corresponds to the transposition  $x_i \rightarrow x_i^T$ . Brackets related by (4),(5) are called *equivalent*.

Let V be a linear space with a basis  $v_i$ , i = 1, ..., N. Define linear operators C, A on the space  $V \otimes V$  by

$$Cv_i \otimes v_j = c_{ij}^{pq} v_p \otimes v_q, \quad Av_i \otimes v_j = a_{ij}^{pq} v_p \otimes v_q.$$

Then the identities can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{split} C^{12} &= -C^{21}, \quad C^{23}C^{12} + C^{31}C^{23} + C^{12}C^{31} = 0, \\ A^{12}A^{31} &= A^{31}A^{12}, \\ \sigma^{23}A^{13}A^{12} &= A^{12}C^{23} - C^{23}A^{12}, \\ A^{32}A^{12} &= C^{13}A^{12} - A^{32}C^{13}. \end{split}$$

Here all operators act in  $V \otimes V \otimes V$ , by  $\sigma^{ij}$  we mean transposition of *i*-th and *j*-th component of the tensor product and  $A^{ij}$ ,  $C^{ij}$  mean operators A, C acting in the product of the *i*-th and *j*-th components.

A vector  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1,...,\lambda_N)$  is said to be admissible if for any i,j

$$(a_{ij}^{pq} - a_{ji}^{qp} + c_{ij}^{pq})\lambda_p\lambda_q = 0.$$

For any admissible vector the argument shift  $x_i \rightarrow x_i + \lambda_i \text{Id}$  yields a linear Poisson bracket with

$$b_{ij}^p = (a_{ij}^{qp} + a_{ij}^{pq} + c_{ij}^{pq})\lambda_q,$$

compatible with the quadratic one.

## Classification in the case N = 2.

**Theorem.** Let N = 2. Then the following Cases 1-5 form a complete list of non-abelian quadratic Poisson brackets up to equivalence and proportionality.

We present non-zero components of the tensors a and c only.

Case 1.  $c_{12}^{22} = 1$ ,  $c_{21}^{22} = -1$ ; Case 2.  $c_{11}^{21} = 1$ ,  $c_{11}^{12} = -1$ ,  $a_{21}^{22} = a_{11}^{12} = -1$ ; Case 3.  $c_{12}^{22} = 1$ ,  $c_{21}^{22} = -1$ ,  $a_{11}^{12} = a_{21}^{22} = 1$ ; Case 4.  $a_{11}^{22} = 1$ . Case 5.  $c_{11}^{21} = 1$ ,  $c_{11}^{12} = -1$ . The matrix Case 1 admits the following description.

Let  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $TG \simeq \mathfrak{g} \times G = \{(X, Y) | \det Y \neq 0\}$ . Define the following 2-form:

$$\Omega := \operatorname{tr} dX \wedge d(Y^{-1}),$$

where the matrix differential defines as  $dX := ||dx_{ij}||$  and the wedge product combines with the matrix one. The matrix of  $\Omega$  is written as:

$$\Delta^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{O} & \mathbb{S} \\ -\mathbb{S} & \mathbb{O} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta := \det Y \neq 0,$$

where the entries of S are monomials  $y_{pq}y_{rs}$ .

The form  $\Omega$  defines a Poisson structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n^2}$ with coordinates  $x_{ij}, y_{kl}$ . The Poisson tensor  $\Pi$ has the matrix

$$\Delta^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{O} & -\mathbb{S}^{-1} \\ \mathbb{S}^{-1} & \mathbb{O} \end{array} 
ight).$$

Remarkably, the entries of  $\Pi$  are quadratic monomials in  $y_{ij}$  and the Poisson bracket is equivalent to the bracket from Case 1.

In the matrix **Case 2** the Poisson structure is also non-degenerate. We don't know any description similar to the above.

In the matrix **Case 4** the linear Casimir functions are:  $\operatorname{tr} x_1$  and  $x_{j,2}^i$  for all i, j. This Poisson structure is trivial in the following sense. If we fix  $x_2 = C$ , then the dynamics of  $u = x_1$  has the form

$$\frac{du}{dt} = [C^2, H],$$

where H is a non-commutative polynomial in u, C.

For the matrix **Case 5** the linear Casimir functions are  $x_{j,2}^i$  for all i, j. This bracket defines the Poisson structure for the non-abelian Euler-Manakov top.

Consider Case 3. The Casimir functions are:

tr 
$$x_2^k$$
, tr  $x_1 x_2^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, ...$ 

The simplest integrable non-abelian ODE with Poisson bracket **Case 3** has the form

$$u_t = uvu - u^2v, \qquad v_t = v^2u - vuv,$$

where  $u = x_1, v = x_2$ . The Hamiltonian is  $\frac{1}{2}$ tr  $u^2$ .

The reduction u = Cv gives rise to

$$v_t = v^2 C v - v C v^2.$$

The cyclic reduction of the latter equation yields the non-abelian modified Volterra equation.

We show that in the matrix case our quadratic Poisson bracket is equivalent to a pencil of compatible linear Poisson brackets.

Suppose that

$$x_2 = T \wedge T^{-1}, \quad x_1 = T Y T^{-1},$$

where Y is a generic matrix,  $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m)$ , where  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  and  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ , and T is a generic invertible matrix with  $t_{1,j} = 1$ .

Consider  $y_{i,j}$  and  $t_{i,j}$ , i > 1 as coordinates on the corresponding  $(2m^2 - m)$ -dimensional Poisson submanifold. Then in this coordinates the restriction of the initial quadratic Poisson bracket  $\{,\}$  has the form

$$\{,\} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \{,\}_i,$$

where  $\{,\}_i$  are some linear Poisson brackets.

Describe the structure of the Lie algebra  ${\mathcal G}$  corresponding to the pencil. It turns out that

 $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{T},$ 

where  $[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}] \subset \mathcal{Y}, [\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{T}] \subset \mathcal{T}, [\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}] = \{0\}$ . Subalgebra  $\mathcal{Y}$  of dimension  $m^2$  is generated by  $y_{ij}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ -module  $\mathcal{T}$  of dimension m(m-1) is generated by  $t_{i,j}, i > 1$ .

Agebra  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a trivial central extension by  $y_{1,1}, ..., y_{m,m}$ of algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  spanned by  $z_{i,j} = y_{i,j} - y_{i,i}$ , where  $i \neq j$ .

The radical of  $\mathcal{Z}$  is spanned by  $r_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} z_{j,i}$ .

The centralizer S of  $r_1$  is isomorphic to gl(m - 1) with  $r_1$  being the center. The isomorphism between S and Mat(m - 1) is given by

$$e_j^i \to \frac{1}{\lambda_j} (z_{j+1,1} - z_{j+1,i+1}), \qquad i, j = 1, ..., m-1,$$

where  $z_{k,k} = 0$  for any k. Here  $e_j^i$  are the matrix unities.

The radical of  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the direct sum of two commutative S-modules of dimensions m-1 and 1. The first one is spanned by  $v_i = r_i - r_1$ . The second is generated by  $r_1$ . The commutator relations between the modules is given by  $[r_1, v_i] = v_i$ .

The module T is a direct sum of *n*-dimensional submodules  $T_i$  spanned by  $t_{i,k}$ , i > 1. The commutator relations are

$$[y_{i,j}, t_{k,l}] = \delta_l^i \lambda_i (t_{k,i} - t_{k,j}).$$