

# Integrable discretizations of integrable PDE's

A. Pogrebkov

Steklov Mathematical Institute and  
NRU Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

Workshop on Integrable Nonlinear Equations

Mikulov, Czech Republic, October 18 – 24, 2015

Integrability of the PDE's is closely related to degeneracy of dispersion laws (E. Zakharov and E. I. Shulman (1980)). Say, integrability of the Davey–Stewartson (DSII) equation

$$\begin{aligned} i(-1)^j \partial_t q_j + \partial_x^2 q_j - \partial_y^2 q_j + 2q_1 q_2 q_j + v q_j &= 0, & j = 1, 2, \\ \partial_x^2 v - \partial_y^2 v &= -4\partial_x^2(q_1 q_2) \end{aligned}$$

follows from identity  $2(z^2 + w^2) = (z - w)^2 + (z + w)^2$ , where  $z$  and  $w$  are any commuting variables.

Let  $A$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be some arbitrary operators,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & B_1 \\ B_2, & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma = \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the above identity means that we have commutator identity

$$2\sigma[A^2\sigma, B] = [A, [A, B]] + [A\sigma, [A\sigma, B]]$$

Let now  $B$  depends on three “times:”  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  as

$$B_{t_1} = [A, B], \quad B_{t_2} = i[A\sigma, B], \quad B_{t_3} = i[A^2\sigma, B]$$

then  $B$  obeys:  $2i\sigma B_{t_3} + \partial_{t_1}^2 B - \partial_{t_2}^2 B = 0$ , i.e. linearized version of the DSII equation.

**Operator realization.** Let operator  $B$  be  $x$ -dependent function of operator  $A$  and impose condition, that  $B_{t_1} = B_x$ . Taking  $B_{t_1} = [A, B]$  into account we get that

$$A = \partial_x - iz, \text{ where } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

In other words  $B$  is pseudo-differential operator

$$(Bf)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp e^{ipx} B(x, i(p - z)) \tilde{f}(p), \quad \tilde{f}(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx e^{-ipx} f(x)$$

which symbol  $B(x, z)$  is defined in the complex plane of the second argument:  $x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Correspondingly,  $B_{t_2} = i[(\partial_x - iz)\sigma, B]$ ,  $B_{t_3} = i[(\partial_x - iz)^2\sigma, B]$ , so the symbol  $B(t, z)$  has the form

$$B(t, z) = e^{2it_1 z_{\text{Im}} + 2i\sigma t_2 z_{\text{Re}} + 2i\sigma t_3 (z_{\text{Im}}^2 - z_{\text{Re}}^2)} b(z)$$

where  $b(z)$  is off-diagonal  $2 \times 2$  matrix depending on  $z$  only.

**D-bar problem (dressing)** Dependence of the symbol on the complex parameter  $z$  enables to associate to any operator  $F$  with symbol  $F(x, z)$  its d-bar derivative:  $\bar{\partial}F$  with symbol  $\frac{\partial(F(x, z))}{\partial \bar{z}}$ . Now we introduce new operator as solution of the following problem:

$$\bar{\partial}\nu = \nu B,$$

normalized by condition that for  $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\nu = 1 + uA^{-1} + o(z^{-1}),$$

where  $u$  is ( $2 \times 2$  matrix) multiplication operator. In what follows we assume unique solvability of this problem. We introduce dependence of operator  $\nu$  on times by means of the known time dependence of operator  $B$ :  $\bar{\partial}\nu(t) = \nu(t)B(t)$ . Thus

$$\bar{\partial}(\nu_{t_1} + \nu A) = (\nu_{t_1} + \nu A)B$$

This means that  $\nu_{t_1} + \nu A = (A + X)\nu$ , where  $X$  is some multiplication operator. Thanks to the asymptotic condition we get  $X = 0$ , so that

$$\nu_{t_1} = [A, \nu]$$

Analogously we derive that

$$\nu_{t_2} = i[A\sigma, \nu] - i[\sigma, u]\nu$$

that thanks to  $\nu_{t_1} = [A, \nu]$  can be written in the form  $\nu_{t_2} + i\nu\sigma A = i\sigma\nu_{t_1} + i\sigma\nu A - i[\sigma, u]\nu$ , that in terms of the symbols takes the form

$$\nu_{t_2}(t, z) + z\nu(t, z)\sigma = i\sigma\nu_{t_1}(t, z) + z\sigma\nu(t, z) - i[\sigma, u(t)]\nu(t, z)$$

Introducing the Jost solution as  $\varphi(t, z) = \nu(t, z)e^{-izt_1 + z\sigma t_2}$  we get the two dimensional Zakharov–Shabat  $L$ -operator

$$\varphi_{t_2}(t, z) = i\sigma \varphi_{t_1}(t, z) - i[\sigma, u(t)] \varphi(t, z)$$

In the same way we get  $\varphi_{t_3} = i\sigma \varphi_{t_1 t_1} - i\sigma \varphi_{t_1} + (i[\sigma, u] - i\sigma u_{t_1} - i[\sigma, w]) \varphi$

**Discretization (Darboux transformation).** Let besides  $t$ -dependence

$$B_{t_1} = [A, B], \quad B_{t_2} = i[A\sigma, B], \quad B_{t_3} = i[A^2\sigma, B]$$

operator  $B$  depends on the discrete variable  $n$ :  $B(t, n)$ . Let us denote  $B^{(1)}(n) = B(n + 1)$  and let this dependence is given by means of the same operator  $A = \partial_x - iz$  as

$$B^{(1)} = (A - a)B(A - a)^{-1}, \text{ where } a \text{ is a constant diagonal matrix.}$$

This means that with respect to  $n$ ,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  we have difference-differential equation:

$$B_{t_2}^{(1)} - B_{t_2} = i\sigma(B_{t_1}^{(1)} + B_{t_1}) + 2i\sigma B^{(1)}a - 2i\sigma aB$$

and  $t_3$  is the continuous symmetry of this equation. Again we set:  $\bar{\partial}\nu^{(1)} = \nu^{(1)}B^{(1)}$ , so that

$$\bar{\partial}\nu^{(1)}(A - a) = \nu^{(1)}(A - a)B$$

Then we derive that  $\nu^{(1)}(A - a) = (A - a + u_1)\nu$ , where  $u_1 = u^{(1)} - u$ .

In this way we arrive to the Lax pair:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{t_2} &= i\sigma \varphi_{t_1} - i[\sigma, u] \varphi \\ \varphi^{(1)} &= \varphi_{t_1} + (u_1 - a) \varphi\end{aligned}$$

and equation of compatibility for  $u = v^{\text{diag}} + w^{\text{antidiag}}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}v_{t_2}^{(1)} - v_{t_2} &= i\sigma v_{t_1}^{(1)} + i\sigma v_{t_1} + 2i\sigma(w_1 - a)v - 2i\sigma v^{(1)}(w_1 - a) \\ w_{t_2} - i\sigma w_{t_1} &= -2i\sigma v^2\end{aligned}$$

**Another realization of operators.** It is reasonable to consider another realization of operators. Let us start with Let we have a space of infinite sequences  $f = \{f(n)\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $T$  denotes shift operator:  $(Tf)(n) = f(n + 1)$ . For any operator  $B$  in this space we introduce dependence on the discrete variable  $m$  and two “times”  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  by means of the same relations as above:

$$B^{(1)} = (A - a)B(A - a)^{-1}, \quad B_{t_1} = [A, B], \quad B_{t_2} = i[A\sigma, B], \quad [a, \sigma] = 0$$

and we impose condition that  $B^{(1)} = TBT^{-1}$ . This means that now  $A = zT + a$  and we consider  $B$  to be function of operator  $A$ , i.e.,  $B$  is “pseudo-matrix” operator in this space:

$$(Bf)(n) = \oint_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \zeta^{n-1} B(n, z\zeta) \tilde{f}(\zeta), \quad \tilde{f}(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-n} f(n)$$

Again we define operator  $\nu$  by means of the d-bar problem

$$\bar{\partial}\nu = \nu B, \quad \nu = 1 + uA^{-1} + o(z^{-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty$$

The construction analogous to the above gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{t_1} &= \varphi^{(1)} - (u_1 - a) \varphi \\ \varphi_{t_2} &= i\sigma \varphi^{(1)} - i(\sigma u^{(1)} + (u + a)\sigma) \varphi \end{aligned}$$



Further discretization of this equation leads to the special case of the non-Abelian Hirota difference equation:

$$u^{(12)}(u^{(2)} - u^{(1)} + a_{12}) + a_{12}u^{(3)} + \text{cycle}\{1, 2, 3\} = 0$$

Here  $u$  denotes operator  $u(m_1, m_2, m_3)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)}(m) &= u(m_1 + 1, m_2, m_3), & u^{(2)}(m) &= u(m_1, m_2 + 1, m_3), & \dots, \\ u_i(m) &= u^{(i)}(m) - u(m). \end{aligned}$$

Constant operators  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  mutually commute and  $a_{ij} = a_i - a_j$ . This equation is condition of compatibility of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(2)} &= \varphi^{(1)} + (u^{(2)} - u^{(1)} + a_{12})\varphi, \\ \varphi^{(3)} &= \varphi^{(2)} + (u^{(3)} - u^{(2)} + a_{23})\varphi, \\ \varphi^{(1)} &= \varphi^{(3)} + (u^{(1)} - u^{(3)} + a_{31})\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

so the Lax pair is any two of these equations.

In our approach this equation appears as commutator identity

$$(A - a_1)(A - a_2)B(A - a_1)^{-1}(A - a_2)^{-1}a_{12} + a_{12}(A - a_3)B(A - a_3)^{-1} + \text{cycle} = 0$$

where we assume that operator  $B$  acts in  $V \otimes W$ , and  $A - a_j$  stands for  $A \otimes I - I \otimes a_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  where  $A$  is operator in  $V$ , and  $a_j$  in  $W$ . Introducing now evolution by means of

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(1)} &= (A - a_1)B(A - a_1)^{-1}, & B^{(2)} &= (A - a_2)B(A - a_2)^{-1}, \\ B^{(3)} &= (A - a_3)B(A - a_3)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $B(m)$  obeys the difference equation

$$B^{(12)}a_{12} + a_{12}B^{(3)} + B^{(23)}a_{23} + a_{23}B^{(1)} + B^{(31)}a_{31} + a_{31}B^{(2)} = 0, \quad ,$$

or

$$B_{12}a_{12} + [a_{12}, B_3] + B_{23}a_{23} + [a_{23}, B_1] + B_{31}a_{31} + [a_{31}, B_1] = 0.$$

Dressing procedure of the type described above gives the Lax pair, as well as the Hirota difference equation.

**Limiting cases.** The original linear equation

$$B^{(12)}a_{12} + a_{12}B^{(3)} + B^{(23)}a_{23} + a_{23}B^{(1)} + B^{(31)}a_{31} + a_{31}B^{(2)} = 0, \quad a_{ij} = a_i - a_j,$$

and evolutions become trivial in two cases: if  $a_k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $k$ , or if  $a_j = a_i$  for some  $j \neq i$ . Here we consider the first case: we substitute  $a_k \rightarrow xa_k$ , where  $x$  is c-number and then for  $x \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$B^{(k)} = a_k \left[ B - \frac{1}{x} \partial_{t_k} B \right] a_k^{-1} + \dots, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\partial_{t_k} B = [Aa_k^{-1}, B]$ .

**Limit**  $a_3 \rightarrow \infty, a_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . The identity takes the form:

$$(a_2Ba_2^{-1} - a_3Ba_3^{-1})^{(1)}a_1 + (a_2B_{t_2} - a_3B_{t_3})^{(1)} - \\ - a_2a_3B_{t_2}a_3^{-1} + a_3a_2B_{t_3}a_2^{-1} - a_1a_2Ba_2^{-1} + a_1a_3Ba_3^{-1} = 0,$$

that is antisymmetric with respect to indexes 2 and 3. Now we have

$$B^{(1)} = T_1BT_1^{-1}, \quad B_{t_2} = [(T_1 + a_1)a_2^{-1}, B], \quad B_{t_3} = [(T_1 + a_1)a_3^{-1}, B],$$

Substitution:  $v(m_1, t_2, t_3) = u(m_1, t_2, t_3) - m_1a$ , Lax pair

$$\alpha\psi_{t_2} = \psi^{(1)} + [\alpha w\alpha^{-1} - w^{(1)}]\psi, \\ \alpha^{-1}\psi_{t_3} = \psi^{(1)} + [\alpha^{-1}w\alpha - w^{(1)}]\psi,$$

and equation:

$$(w\alpha - \alpha w^{(1)})_{t_2} - (w\alpha^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}w^{(1)})_{t_3} + [w\alpha - \alpha w^{(1)}, w\alpha^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}w^{(1)}] = 0.$$

where  $\alpha$  is a constant operator.

**Limit**  $a_3 \rightarrow \infty$ . The  $1/x$  term gives identity

$$B^{(12)}a_{12} + a_3(B^{(2)} - B^{(1)})_{t_3} + a_2B^{(1)} - a_1B^{(2)} + \\ + a_3B^{(2)}a_3^{-1}a_2 - a_3B^{(1)}a_3^{-1}a_1 + a_{12}a_3Ba_3^{-1} = 0,$$

where

$$B^{(1)} = T_1BT_1^{-1}, \quad B^{(2)} = (T_1 + a_{12})B(T_1 + a_{12})^{-1}, \quad B_{t_3} = [(T_1 + a_1)a_3^{-1}, B],$$

so that  $\bar{\partial}(\nu_{t_3} + \nu(T_1 + a_1)a_3^{-1}) = (\nu_{t_3} + \nu(T_1 + a_1)a_3^{-1})B$ . Thus again taking asymptotic into account we derive:  $\nu_{t_3} + \nu(T_1 + a_1)a_3^{-1} = a_3^{-1}(T_1 + a_3ua_3^{-1} - u^{(1)} + a_1)\nu$ . Finally for  $w(m_1, m_2, t_3) = u(m_1, m_2, t_3) - m_1a_1 - m_2a_2$  we get Lax pair and evolution equation

$$\psi_{t_3} = \psi^{(1)} - w_1\psi,$$

$$\psi^{(2)} = \psi^{(1)} + (w_2 - w_1)\psi,$$

$$(w_2 - w_1)_{t_3} + w_{12}(w_2 - w_1) + [w_1, w_2] = 0.$$

**Highest Hirota difference equations.** If we introduce, say, 4 discrete variables by means of

$$B(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) = (A - a_1)^{m_1} (A - a_2)^{m_2} (A - a_3)^{m_3} (A - a_4)^{m_4} B(\dots)^{-1}$$

then this function obeys linearized Hirota equation with respect to any 3 of variables  $m_1, \dots, m_4$ . But we can introduce higher evolutions:

$$B(m_1, m_2, m_3) = (A - a_1)^{m_1} (A - a_2)^{m_2} (A^2 - a_3^2)^{m_3} B(\dots)^{-1}$$

In this way we get linear equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_3 \left( (a_1 + a_3) \Delta_1 - (a_2 + a_3) \Delta_2 \right) \left( (a_1 - a_3) \Delta_1 - (a_2 - a_3) \Delta_2 \right) = \\ & = (a_1 - a_2) \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \left( (a_1 - a_2) \Delta_1 \Delta_2 + 2a_1 \Delta_1 - 2a_2 \Delta_2 \right), \quad \Delta_j B = B^{(j)} - B \end{aligned}$$

and Lax pair

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(2)} &= \varphi^{(1)} + (u^{(2)} - u^{(1)}) \varphi \\ \varphi^{(3)} &= \varphi^{(11)} + (u^{(11)} - u^{(3)}) \varphi^{(1)} + w \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Equation:

$$(u^{(2)} - u^{(1)})^{(3)} w = w^{(2)} (u^{(2)} - u^{(11)})$$

$$w^{(2)} - w^{(1)} = (u^{(11)} - u^{(3)})^{(2)} (u^{(2)} - u^{(1)})^{(1)} - (u^{(2)} - u^{(1)})^{(3)} (u^{(11)} - u^{(3)})$$