

A Manifold view point of
Lie Algebras

References

Particle-like structure of Lie algebras. I, A.M. Vinogradov

" " " " II coaxial algebras

Both in Journal of Mathematical Physics 59, 071703 (2018)

58, 071703 (2017)

Poisson structures: Towards a classification

J. Grabowski, G.M., A.M. Perelomov

Modern Physics Letters A, 8 (18): 1719-733, 1993

On the geometry of Lie algebras and Poisson tensors

J.F. Cariñena, A. Ibort, G.M., A. Perelomov

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994) 7425-7449

The local structure of n -Poisson and n -Jacobi manifolds

G.M., G. Vilesi, A.M. Vinogradov

Journal of Geometry and Physics 25 (1998) 141-182 (received Febr 1997)

Remarks on Nambu-Poisson and Nambu-Jacobi brackets

J. Phys. A 32 (1999) 4239-4247

On Filippov algebroids and multiplicative Nambu-Poisson structures

Differential Geometry and its Applications 12 (2000) 35-50

J. Grabowski, G.M. (Communicated by A.M. Vinogradov)

Alternative linear structures for classical and Quantum systems

Int. J. Mod. Phys A, 22 (2007) 3039

E. Ercolessi, A.L. Ibort, G.M., G. Morelli

Lie algebra

The definition of Lie algebra from the book of Claude Chevalley is the following: (Theory of Lie Groups, page 103)

Let K be a field, and let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space of finite dimension over K - suppose moreover that there is given

a law of composition $(X, Y) \rightarrow [X, Y]$ in \mathfrak{g} with the

following properties:

1. It is bilinear, i.e.,

$$[a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2, Y] = a_1 [X_1, Y] + a_2 [X_2, Y]$$

$$[X, a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2] = a_1 [X, Y_1] + a_2 [X, Y_2]$$

$$a_1, a_2 \in K; X, Y, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$$

2. It satisfies the following conditions:

$$[X, X] = 0, \quad [[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then \mathfrak{g} , equipped with this law of composition, is called a Lie algebra over K

In what I am going to say I shall assume $K \equiv \mathbb{R}$

(I want to work on real manifolds)

Vector space

$$V \quad V^* = \text{Lin}(V, \mathbb{R}) \quad (V^*)^* = \text{Lin}(V^*, \mathbb{R}) \cong V \text{ (reflexive)}$$

Tensors

$$T_s^r(V) = L^{r+s}(V_1^*, \dots, V_r^*; V_1, \dots, V_s; \mathbb{R}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{contravariant order } r \\ \text{covariant order } s \end{array}$$

$$\hat{z}_1 \otimes \hat{z}_2 \in T_{s_1+s_2}^{r_1+r_2}(V); \quad \hat{z}_1 \in T_{s_1}^{r_1}(V) \quad \hat{z}_2 \in T_{s_2}^{r_2}(V)$$

coordinates

We consider an ordered basis of $V \quad \hat{e} \equiv (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ and
 a dual basis for V^* , $\hat{e}^* = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n) \quad \alpha^j(e_k) = \delta_k^j$

A coordinate system on V
 $x^j = \alpha^j(u) = x^j(u)$

coordinate system on V^*
 $x_j = e_j(\alpha) = x_j(\alpha)$

Remark - $T_1^1(V) \cong L(V, V)$

Law of composition

• binary, bilinear

$$\beta \in T_1^2(V)$$

$$\beta = \sum_{j,k} c_{jk}^m \alpha^j \otimes \alpha^k \otimes e_m$$

$\beta(u, u) = 0 \Rightarrow$
skew-symmetry

$\forall u \in V$

$$\beta(u) \in T_1^1(V)$$

$\beta(u)$ is a derivation

$$\beta(u)(\beta(v, w)) = \beta(\beta(u) \cdot v, w) + \beta(v, \beta(u) \cdot w)$$

Jacobi identity

Manifold view point

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 V & TV \cong V \times V & T^*V \cong V \times V^* \\
 & & \quad \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\
 V^* & TV^* \cong V^* \times V^* & T^*V^* \cong V^* \times V
 \end{array}$$

Distinguished section

$$\Delta: V \rightarrow TV \quad u \mapsto (u, u)$$

coordinate s

$$\Delta \equiv x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

$$\beta \equiv C_{j\kappa}^m dx^j \otimes dx^\kappa \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$$

on V^*

$$\Delta \equiv x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

$$\beta \equiv C_{j\kappa}^m dx_m \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\kappa}$$

contracting with Δ and using skew-symmetry

$$\beta \equiv C_{j\kappa}^m x_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\kappa}$$

Linear structure on a contractible manifold

M contractible finite dimensional manifold, $\dim M = n$

Δ a vector field

i) complete

ii) $\exists! m_0 \mapsto \Delta(m_0) = 0$

iii) $L_\Delta f = 0 \Rightarrow f$ constant

iv) $L_\Delta h = h$ admits n functionally independent solutions

M may be given a vector space structure

use h^1, h^2, \dots, h^n as coordinate functions
linearizing coordinates

notice that $\Delta(m_0) = 0 \Rightarrow h^j(m_0) = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Manifold point of view:

From vector spaces \rightarrow modules
tensors \rightarrow tensor fields

The manifold view point allows to perform any "change of coordinates" on the *laws of composition*

The vector field Δ selects linear transformations out of diffeomorphisms

$$\Phi_* (\Delta) = \Delta$$

Linear vector fields $[X, \Delta] = 0$

Prop: $X = A^j_k x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$

Translations $[X_a, \Delta] = X_a$

Prop: $X_a = a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$

Lie algebras, tensorial version

$$\Lambda = c_{jk}^e x_e \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = [x_j, x_k] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$

The linear map $V \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(V^*)$

$$u \mapsto \Lambda(d\hat{u}) = X_u \quad \hat{u} \in \text{Lin}(V^*, \mathbb{R})$$

is a homomorphism of Lie algebras iff the Jacobi identity is satisfied

equivalently: iff $L_{X_u} \Lambda = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{g}$

Example

$$M \equiv \{x \equiv (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \quad \text{Consider } [X_j, X_k] = \varepsilon_{jke} X_e$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{jke} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_e} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \quad j, k, e = 1, 2, 3$$

in spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) , $x_1 = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi$, $x_2 = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi$, $x_3 = r \cos \theta$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)$$

Additional examples

8

2) Consider $\Lambda = w^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ $w^{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$

the coordinate transformation $\xi_j = e^{x_j}$ gives a quadratic bracket

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j,k} w^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}$$

3) Consider $\Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$, the transformation $q = \ln x$, $p = P$

gives

$$\Lambda = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial P}$$

Change of coordinates to "linearize" PB has been extensively studied - In Physics it is relevant when studying gauge theories with first class constraints

Symplectic realization of Poisson manifolds (Schwinger's problem) in Q.M.

Classification problem

9

Because of previous examples, the classification problem or normal forms for $\Lambda = [X_j, X_k] \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_k}$

should specify that we consider only linear transformations.

We shall first give the example of 3-dim Lie algebras.

On \mathbb{R}^3 we consider the volume form by means of a contravariant tensor

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3}$$

a bivector field is in one-to-one correspondence with a one-form α

$$\Lambda_\alpha = \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} + \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} + \alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}$$

clearly all vector fields X_u associated with linear functions \hat{u} are in the kernel of α .

From $L_{X_u} \Lambda_\alpha = 0$ we derive $L_{X_u} \alpha = 0$, therefore $i_{X_u} d\alpha = 0$.

Thus the Jacobi identity is satisfied iff $\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$, i.e., the characteristic distribution is involutive!

3-dimensional Lie algebras

A generic one-form may be written as

$$\alpha = (a_1x + b_1y + c_1z)dz + (a_2x + b_2y + c_2z)dx + (a_3x + b_3y + c_3z)dy$$

we may distinguish

i) $d\alpha = 0, \alpha = dF$

ii) $d\alpha \neq 0$

In the first case, by using invertible linear transformations we reduce the quadratic function F to its normal form

$$F = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = (x, y, z) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

We have first

rank one $[Y, Z] = ex, [Y, X] = 0, [Z, X] = 0$ H.W.

rank two : $ab > 0, ab < 0, [X, Y] = 0, [Y, Z] = ax, [Z, X] = by$ $SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$
 $SO(1,1) \times \mathbb{R}^2$

rank three $ab > 0, bc > 0, ac > 0$ $SO(3)$

$abc < 0$ $SO(2,1) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

unimodular Lie algebras

We consider now the case $d\alpha \neq 0$

From $\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$, if we reduce $d\alpha$ to a normal form,

$$\text{we get } d\alpha = m \alpha \wedge dy \quad \alpha = m x dy + d(px^2 + ny^2 + qyx)$$

$$F = (x, y) \begin{vmatrix} p & q/2 \\ q/2 & n \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

The term $q m x dy$ gives $\Lambda = m x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
the Lie algebra of the affine group

The exact part gives combinations of the H.W. algebras because the quadratic function may be reduced to

$$F = r x^2 \pm s y^2$$

We conclude that:

- 1) Poisson Brackets associated with monomials x^2, y^2, z^2 are pairwise compatible and each one gives a H.W. algebra
- 2) monomials x^2, y^2 give algebras compatible with the one defined by $x dy$ (affine Lie algebra)

In conclusion: All three dimensional Lie algebras are combinations of H.W. algebras and affine algebras

Vinogradov approach

Remark: An application

By using a different parametrization of α , say

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} d(N_1 x_1^2 + N_2 x_2^2 + N_3 x_3^2) + \frac{a}{2} (x_2 dx_3 - x_3 dx_2)$$

$$\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow a N_i = 0$$

These quadratic one-forms give rise to the classification proposed by Bianchi

Bianchi A, α exact, i.e., $a = 0$

Bianchi B $d\alpha \neq 0$

This classification gives rise to Bianchi universes which give an approach to find exact integration of equations of motion in the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker approach to Einstein equations

Noether symmetries in Bianchi universes

S. Copozziello, G.M., C. Rubano, P. Scudellaro
 Int. Jour. Mod Phys D 6(4) (1997) 491-503

From Poisson tensors to differential forms

We consider a volume form on the n-dimensional orientable manifold M

If $\Lambda = X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k$ we consider $\bar{\Psi}_\Lambda = i_\Lambda \Omega$

This is an isomorphism between k-vector fields and (n-k) forms

$$(i_\Lambda \Omega)(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-k}) = \Omega(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-k})$$

For a bivector field $\Lambda = c^{jk} \partial_j \wedge \partial_k$, $\Omega = dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$

$$\Psi_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} (-1)^{j+k} c^{jk} dx^1 \dots \overset{j}{\downarrow} \dots \overset{k}{\downarrow} \dots dx^n$$
i stands for omission

For vector fields $i_{[X, Y]} = i_X i_Y d - d i_{X \wedge Y} + i_X d i_Y - i_Y d i_X$

and similarly for bivector fields

$$i_{[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]} = -i_{\Lambda_1} i_{\Lambda_2} d - d i_{\Lambda_2 \wedge \Lambda_1} + i_{\Lambda_1} d i_{\Lambda_2} + i_{\Lambda_2} d i_{\Lambda_1}$$

Thm. A bivector field is Poisson iff

$$2 i_\Lambda d \Psi_\Lambda = d \Psi_{\Lambda \wedge \Lambda}$$

Poisson Λ_1, Λ_2 are compatible iff

$$d \Psi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2} = i_{\Lambda_1} d \Psi_{\Lambda_2} + i_{\Lambda_2} d \Psi_{\Lambda_1}$$

Poisson \rightarrow differential forms

Using d, L_X, i_X we can state properties of the Schouten brackets in terms of differential forms

$$1) \quad L_X \Omega = \operatorname{div} X \Omega = d i_X \Omega$$

$$2) \quad d(i_X \wedge i_Y \Omega) = i_{[X, Y]} \Omega + i_X d i_Y \Omega - i_Y d i_X \Omega$$

defining $D = \Psi^{-1} \circ d \circ \Psi$ we get

$$D(X) = \operatorname{div} X$$

$$D(X \wedge Y) = [Y, X] + \operatorname{div}(Y)X - \operatorname{div}(X)Y$$

for vector fields X and Y ,

$$D(\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2) = [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] + D(\Lambda_1) \wedge \Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1 D(\Lambda_2)$$

for bivector fields - Thus the Jacobi identity becomes

$$D(\Lambda \wedge \Lambda) = 2 \Lambda \wedge D(\Lambda)$$

A Poisson tensor is closed if $D(\Lambda) = 0$ ($\Rightarrow D(\Lambda \wedge \Lambda) = 0$)

This is equivalent with

$$\Psi_\Lambda \quad (\text{and hence } \Psi_{\Lambda \wedge \Lambda}) \text{ is closed}$$

This definition is volume dependent

Starting with a given Ω we may decompose Ψ_Λ into a closed part plus a remaining term.

The remainder is usually associated with a rank 2 Poisson tensor

From $\Psi_\Lambda = i_\Lambda \Omega$ we may define $i_{X_\Lambda} \Omega = d\Psi_\Lambda$

we have $\text{div } X_\Lambda = 0$ $L_{X_\Lambda} \Lambda = 0$

Having constructed $d\Psi$ we look for a 1-form such that

$$\Omega = \theta \wedge d\Psi$$

$$\theta(X_\Lambda) = 1 \quad d\theta = 0$$

define $X_\theta = \Lambda(\theta)$

The bivector field $X_\theta \wedge X_\Lambda - \Lambda$ is closed

Remark: θ is defined only up to a closed 1-form which is a constant of the motion for X_Λ and such that $\theta \wedge \Psi_\Lambda = 0$
 X_θ and X_Λ define an involutive distribution

$$L_{X_\theta} \Lambda = 0$$

$\Rightarrow X_\theta \wedge X_\Lambda$ Poisson

$$L_{X_\theta} \Lambda = 0 \quad \text{compatibility}$$

Theorem

If Λ is Poisson, there is an X defined on the support of X_Λ such that

$$\Lambda - X \wedge X_\Lambda$$

is a closed Poisson tensor

When the Poisson tensor has leaves of dimension at most two, then $\Lambda \wedge \Lambda = 0$ and we have $i_\Lambda d\psi_\Lambda = 0$.

Vice versa:

Theorem. If $f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-2}$ are smooth functions on an open and dense subset of an n -dimensional manifold M with a given volume form Ω such that $f df_1 df_2 \dots df_{n-2}$ is a smooth $(n-2)$ -form on M corresponding to a bivector Λ then Λ is Poisson with orbits of dimension at most two for which f_1, \dots, f_{n-2} are Casimir functions and the bracket is defined by

$$\{g, h\}_\Omega = f dg \wedge dh \wedge df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{n-2}$$

17
By using previous theorem and noticing that

$$x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \quad (\text{affine subalgebra, (dyon)})$$

$$x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \quad \text{H. W.} \quad (\text{triadon})$$

have leaves of dimension two
we can use the *disassembling techniques* proposed
by Vinogradov in the papers I mentioned at the
beginning

Outlook

Poisson Brackets are essential ingredient to describe evolution in Classical Mechanics

In Quantum Mechanics, on the space of observables, a similar role is provided by Lie-Jordan algebra

We recall that on a vector space V (over \mathbb{R}) a Jordan product is a binary, bilinear symmetric product $a \circ b = b \circ a$, not associative, but satisfying the identity

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ a) = a \circ (b \circ (a \circ a)) \quad \forall a, b \in V$$

The Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and the symmetric brackets are compatible in the following sense

$$[a, b \circ c] = [a, b] \circ c + b \circ [a, c]$$

$$a \circ (b \circ c) - (a \circ b) \circ c = \mu^2 ([a, [b, c]] - [[a, b], c]) \quad a, b, c \in V, \mu^2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

The observables of a quantum system provide an example

$$[a, b] = -\frac{i}{2}(ab - ba) \quad a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$$

If we consider expectation-value functions, say on the manifold of quantum (pure)-states we may define

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} [x_j, x_k] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \quad R = (x_j \otimes x_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$

They define a Lie-Jordan algebra on the space of expectation-value functions

How to classify them?

This would be (almost) equivalent to the classification of associative algebra (considered by Cartan and Study)

Extension of the classification of Lie algebras to infinite dimensions (Hilbert manifolds)