## Lax representations for Euler equations of ideal hydrodynamics

**Oleg Morozov** 

## PART ONE

## Lax representations for the Euler equations on a 2D Riemannian manifold

J. Geom. Phys. 206 (2024), 105299

The dynamics of an inviscid incompressible fluid on a Riemannian manifold N is described by the Euler equations

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{v}_t + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{v}} \, \boldsymbol{v} = -\text{grad} \, p, \\ \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\boldsymbol{v}$  is the velocity vector of the fluid, p is the pressure, and  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on N, see [Arnold, Khesin, 1998]. We assume dim N = 2 and consider an open subset  $M \subseteq N$  with trivial topology such that there exist *isothermal coordinates* (x, y) on M, [Postnikov, Geometry VI], that is, the Riemannian metric on M has the form  $e^h (dx^2 + dy^2)$  for a smooth function h = h(x, y).

For  $h \equiv 0$  see [Li, 2001], [M., 2024].

When  $h \neq 0$ , system (1) in the isothermal coordinates acquires the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u \, u_x + v \, u_y + \frac{1}{2} \, h_x \, (u^2 - v^2) + h_y \, u \, v = -e^{-h} \, p_x, \\ v_t + u \, v_x + v \, v_y + \frac{1}{2} \, h_y \, (u^2 - v^2) + h_x \, u \, v = -e^{-h} \, p_y, \\ (e^h \, u)_x + (e^h \, v)_y = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where u and v are the components of the velocity vector  $\boldsymbol{v} = u \partial_x + v \partial_y$ .

The third equation of system (2) implies the local existence of a stream function  $\psi$  such that

$$u = \mathrm{e}^{-h} \,\psi_y, \quad v = -\mathrm{e}^{-h} \,\psi_x.$$

Upon using this substitution and excluding p from the first and second equations of system (2), we obtain the *Euler equation of dynamics of* an inviscid incompressible fluid in vorticity form

$$\Delta \psi_t = \mathbf{J}(\psi, \Delta \psi),\tag{3}$$

where

$$\Delta \psi = \mathrm{e}^{-h} \left( \psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} \right)$$

is the Laplace operator in the isothermal coordinates and the Jacobi bracket is defined as

$$J(a,b) = e^{-h} (a_x b_y - a_y b_x).$$
(4)

The natural setting of the Euler equation and its Lax representations can be expressed in terms of the Poisson algebra  $\mathfrak{p} = C^{\infty}(M)/\mathbb{R}$  of non-constant functions on M, equipped with the Jacobi bracket (4). The map

$$\iota : \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{h}, \ \iota : f \mapsto -\mathrm{e}^{-h} \left( f_y \,\partial_x - f_x \,\partial_y \right)$$

establishes an isomorphism of  ${\mathfrak p}$  and the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{svect}(M) = \{ V \in TM \mid L_V \eta = 0 \}$$

of the area-preserving vector fields, where  $\eta = e^h dx \wedge dy$  is the Riemannian volume element on M.

We employ the current Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{p})$  of smooth functions of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  taking values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$ , endowed with the pointwise bracket J, and consider the stream function  $\psi$  and the pseudopotential q below as the elements of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  admits the extension by the outer derivation  $W \in H^1(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$ , where W is a vector field on M such that

 $L_W \eta = \eta.$ 

We can take

 $W = \mathrm{e}^{-h} \left( P \,\partial_x + Q \,\partial_y \right),$ 

where P = P(x, y) and Q = Q(x, y) are any two functions such that

$$P_x = Q_y = \frac{1}{2} e^h.$$

Then we have

$$[W,\iota(f)]=\iota(\mathrm{E}(f)),$$

where the differential operator  $E \in H^1(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$  has the form

$$E(f) = e^{-h} \left( P f_x + Q f_y \right) - f.$$
(5)

REMARK 1. While the operator  $E \in H^1(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$  is not uniquely defined, another choice of the functions P and Q in (5) appends an inner derivation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  to E.  $\diamond$  THEOREM 1. Equation (3) admits the family of the Lax representations

$$\begin{cases} q_t = J(\psi, q) + \alpha E(\psi) + \lambda F(\lambda^{-1} \Delta \psi), \\ J(\Delta \psi, q) = -\alpha E(\Delta \psi) + \lambda G(\lambda^{-1} \Delta \psi), \end{cases}$$
(6)

where  $\lambda \neq 0$  is a parameter, F and G are arbitrary smooth functions of one variable, and the scaling of q allows one to assume either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = 1$ .

Each of the following conditions (i) through (iv) is sufficient for the parameter  $\lambda$  to be non-removable:

(i) 
$$\alpha = 1$$
 and  $G(s) \neq \text{const} \cdot s$ ,  
(ii)  $\alpha = 1$  and  $F'(s) \neq \text{const}$ ,  
(iii)  $\alpha = 0$  and  $s G'(s) \neq \text{const} \cdot G(s)$ ,  
(iv)  $\alpha = 0, s G'(s) = C \cdot G(s), C = \text{const}$ , and  
 $s F''(s) \neq (C-1) \cdot F'(s)$ .

PROOF. Straightforward computations show that equation (3) implies the compatibility of system (6). Moreover, when  $\alpha + G'(\lambda^{-1}\psi) \neq 0$ , the compatibility of system (6) implies equation (3).

To prove the second assertion, we notice that the scaling symmetry  $V = t \partial_t - \psi \partial_{\psi}$  of equation (3) does not admit a lift to a symmetry of equations (6) when any one of the conditions (i) through (iv) holds. The action of the prolongation of the diffeomorphism  $\exp(\varepsilon V)$  to the bundle  $J^3(\pi)$  of the third order jets of the sections of the bundle  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\pi : (t, x, y, \psi, q) \mapsto (t, x, y)$ , maps equations (6) with  $\lambda = 1$  to equations (6) with  $\lambda = e^{\varepsilon}$ . In accordance with the observations in §§ 3.2, 3.6 of [Krasil'shchik, Vinogradov, 1989], systems (6) with the different constant values of  $\lambda \neq 0$  are not equivalent, meaning the parameter  $\lambda$  is non-removable. REMARK 2. Another choice of the functions P and Q in the operator E in (5) can be compensated by the change of the pseudopotential q. Indeed, if functions  $\hat{P}$  and  $\hat{Q}$  enjoy the condition  $\hat{P}_x = \hat{Q}_y = \frac{1}{2}e^h$ , then

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\psi) = \mathbf{e}^{-h} \left( \hat{P} \,\psi_x + \hat{Q} \,\psi_y \right) - \psi$$
  
=  $\mathbf{E}(\psi) + \mathbf{e}^{-h} \left( \left( \hat{P} - P \right) \psi_x + \left( \hat{Q} - Q \right) \psi_y \right)$   
=  $\mathbf{E}(\psi) + \mathbf{J}(\psi, r),$ 

where r is a function on M such that  $r_y = \hat{P} - P$  and  $r_x = -\hat{Q} + Q$ . The existence of such a function follows from the condition

$$(\hat{P} - P)_x = -(\hat{Q} - Q)_y = 0.$$

Likewise,  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\Delta \psi) + \mathcal{J}(\Delta \psi, r)$ . Therefore, the replacement  $P \mapsto \hat{P}, \ Q \mapsto \hat{Q}$  in (5) is equivalent to the replacement  $q \mapsto q - r$ .

REMARK 3. While Theorem 1 is proven by computations in isothermal coordinates, the forms of equation (3) and its Lax representation (6) do not depend on the choice of local coordinates on a Riemannian manifold under consideration.  $\diamond$ 

EXAMPLE 1. The unit sphere

$$S = \{(X,Y,Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1\}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  has the local geographic coordinates  $(\varphi, \theta)$  such that

$$X = \cos \varphi \, \cos \theta, \ \ Y = \sin \varphi \, \cos \theta, \ \ Z = \sin \theta,$$

 $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi), \ \theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2), \ \text{and the local stereographic coordinates}$  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$X = \frac{2x}{1 + x^2 + y^2}, \quad Y = \frac{2y}{1 + x^2 + y^2}, \quad Z = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{1 + x^2 + y^2}$$

The Euclidean metric  $dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  reduces to the metric  $d\varphi^2 + \cos^2 \varphi \, d\theta^2$  in the geographical coordinates and to the metric  $4 (1 + x^2 + y^2)^{-2} (dx^2 + dy^2)$  in the stereographic coordinates. Therefore, the stereograpic coordinates are isothermal, and the dynamics of an ideal fluid on the unit sphere can be described by equation (3) with the function

$$h(x,y) = \ln \frac{4}{(1+x^2+y^2)^2}.$$

This equation admits the Lax representation (6), where we can put

$$E(f) = \frac{(1+x^2+y^2)}{4} \left( \frac{1}{1+y^2} \left( x + \frac{1+x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \arctan \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right) f_x + \frac{1}{1+x^2} \left( y + \frac{1+x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \arctan \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \right) f_y \right) - f.$$

Alternatively, we can use the geographic coordinates to write Euler equation on the sphere in the same form (3), where now

$$\Delta \psi = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \left( \cos \theta \, \psi_{\theta} \right)_{\theta} + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} \, \psi_{\varphi\varphi}$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}(a,b) = \frac{1}{\cos\theta} \left( a_{\varphi} \, b_{\theta} - a_{\theta} \, b_{\varphi} \right).$$

When the Lax representation (6) is written in the geographic coordinates, we can take

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi f_{\varphi} + \tan \theta f_{\theta} \right) - f.$$

Furthermore, introducing the coordinate  $\chi = \cos \theta$ , one obtains equations (3) and (6) with

$$\Delta \psi = \left( \left( 1 - \chi^2 \right) \psi_{\chi} \right)_{\chi} + \frac{1}{1 - \chi^2} \psi_{\varphi\varphi},$$
  
$$\mathbf{J}(a, b) = a_{\varphi} b_{\chi} - a_{\chi} b_{\varphi}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi f_{\varphi} + \chi f_{\chi} \right) - f.$$

REMARK 4. The Euler equation on a rotating sphere in the last coordinate system has the form

$$\Delta \psi_t = \mathcal{J}(\psi, \Delta \psi) + 2\,\Omega\,\psi_{\varphi},$$

where  $\Omega$  is the relative angular velocity. As shown in [Platzman, 1960], [Bihlo, Popovych, 2011], this equation is equivalent to equation (3) under a certain change of variables.

 $\diamond$ 

## PART TWO

Lax representations for the 3D Euler-Helmholtz equations

arXiv:2409.05752 [nlin.SI]

Let  $\mathfrak{vect}(M)$  be the Lie algebra of the vector fields on an open subset  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  with trivial topology, and let

$$\mathfrak{svect}(M) = \{ V \in \mathfrak{vect}(M) \mid L_V \eta = 0 \}$$

be the Lie algebra of the volume-preserving vector fields on  ${\cal M}$  with the volume element

$$\eta = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

The three-dimensional Euler equation in the vorticity form, or the 3D Euler–Helmholtz equation, [Arnold, Khesin, 1998], reads

$$\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}_t = [\boldsymbol{u}, \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}], \tag{7}$$
  
where  $\boldsymbol{u} = u \,\partial_x + v \,\partial_y + w \,\partial_z \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{spect}(M)).$  The last condition

yields

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} = u_x + v_y + w_z = 0. \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) implies the compatibility of the over-determined system (7).

Two Lax representations for Eq. (7) are presented in [Li, Yurov, 2003]:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}(r) &= \lambda r, \\ r_t &= \boldsymbol{u}(r), \end{cases}$$
(9)

where  $r \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ , and

$$\begin{cases} [\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q}] = \lambda \boldsymbol{q}, \\ \boldsymbol{q}_t = [\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q}] \end{cases}$$
(10)

with  $\boldsymbol{q} = q_1 \partial_x + q_2 \partial_y + q_3 \partial_z \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{vect}(M))$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  in system (9) is removable. Indeed, when  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the change of the pseudopotential  $r = \tilde{r}^{\lambda}$  transforms (9) to the form

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}(\tilde{r}) &= \tilde{r}, \\ \tilde{r}_t &= \boldsymbol{u}(\tilde{r}). \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. The parameter  $\lambda$  in system (10) is non-removable.

PROOF. The symmetry  $S = x \partial_x + y \partial_y + z \partial_z + u \partial_u + v \partial_v + w \partial_w$  of equations (7), (8) does not admit a lift to a symmetry of system (10). The prolongation of  $\exp(\tau S)$  maps (10) to the same system with  $\lambda$ replaced by  $e^{\tau} \lambda$ . THEOREM 3. System

$$\begin{cases} [\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q}] = \mu \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}, \\ \boldsymbol{q}_t = [\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q}] + \lambda \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} \end{cases}$$
(11)

with  $\boldsymbol{q} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{vect}(M))$  provides a Lax representation for equation (7). When  $\mu \neq 0$ , the parameter  $\lambda$  is non-removable.

PROOF. Straightforward computations show that equation (7) implies the compatibility of system (11). The proof of the second assertion is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

The construction of the next Lax representation employs the outer derivation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{svect}(M)$ . As shown in [Lichnerowicz 1974], [Morimoto1976],

 $H^1(\mathfrak{svect}(M),\mathfrak{svect}(M)) = \langle [\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle,$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathfrak{vect}(M)$  satisfies  $L_{\boldsymbol{w}} \eta = \eta$ . We assume

 $\boldsymbol{w} = x\,\partial_x + y\,\partial_y + z\,\partial_z$ 

in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. System

$$\begin{cases} [\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}, \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{q}] &= \mu \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} - [\boldsymbol{w}, \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u}], \\ \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{q}_t &= [\boldsymbol{u}, \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{q}] + \lambda \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} + [\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{u}] \end{cases}$$
(12)

with  $\boldsymbol{q} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{vect}(M))$  defines a Lax representation for the 3D Euler-Helmholtz equation (7). The parameter  $\lambda$  is non-removable.

PROOF. Since  $\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{q} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{svect}(M))$  and  $\boldsymbol{w} \notin C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{svect}(M))$ , the summands with  $\boldsymbol{w}$  in system (12) cannot be eliminated by changing  $\boldsymbol{q}$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.  $\Box$