

Decompositions of the group $G(2)$ and related integrable hierarchies

Moscow

December 16, 2015

Outline of the talk

- The group $G(2)$
- Hierarchies
- The Lie algebra Psd
- The Lie algebra $\text{Ps}\Delta$
- Decompositions in $\text{Ps}\Delta$
- Decompositions in Psd
- The infinite Toda chain
- Compatible Lax equations
- Linearizations
- The geometric construction of solutions

The group $G(2)$

- \mathcal{H} Hilbert space with Hilbert basis $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- For each bounded operator $b : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrix $[b] = (b_{ij})$ by the formula

$$b(e_j) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{ij} e_i.$$

- $S_2(\mathcal{H})$ ideal of Hilbert Schmidt operators, i.e. $A : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$ s.t.

$$\|A\|_2^2 := \text{trace}(A^*A) = \text{trace}(|A|^2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{ij}|^2 < \infty.$$

- The relevant group in all cases is

$$G(2) = \left\{ g = (g_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \mid g - \text{Id} \in S_2(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$

- $O(G(2)) = \{g \in G(2) \mid [g]^T [g] = \text{Id}\}$
- If \mathcal{H} is complex, $U(G(2)) = \{g \in G(2) \mid [g]^* [g] = \text{Id}\}$.

The group $G(2)_2$

- LU -decomposition in $G(2)$: on dense, open subset $g = LU$

$$[L] = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ \ddots & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & l_{n \ n-1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & l_{n+1 \ n-1} & l_{n+1 \ n} & \mathbf{1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[U] = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ \ddots & u_{n-1 \ n-1} & u_{n-1 \ n} & u_{n-1 \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & u_{n \ n} & u_{n \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & 0 & u_{n+1 \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

The group $G(2)_3$

- **Gauss- or Iwasawa-decomposition:** each $g \in G(2)$

$g = o(g)b^+(g)$ real case, or $g = u(g)b^+(g)$ complex case,

where $o(g) \in O(G(2))$, $u(g) \in U(G(2))$ and

$$[b^+(g)] = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ \ddots & & & & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

, with all $b_{ij} > 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Hierarchies 1

- General set-up for hierarchies: Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}
- $\mathfrak{g}_i, i = 1, 2$, Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$$

- π_i the projection of \mathfrak{g} onto \mathfrak{g}_i induced by this decomposition
- \mathfrak{g}_2 Lie algebra of the Lie subgroup G_2
- Set linear independent, commuting elements:

$$\{F_j \mid j \geq 1\} \in \mathfrak{g}_1$$

- t_j flow parameter w.r.t. $F_j, \partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, t = \{t_j\}$.

Hierarchies 2

- Search for $g_2(t) \in G_2$ such that the deformations

$$\mathcal{F}_j := g_2(t)^{-1} F_j g_2(t), j \geq 1$$

satisfy for all $j_1 \geq 1$ and $j_2 \geq 1$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j_1}}(\mathcal{F}_{j_2}) = [\mathcal{F}_{j_2}, \pi_2(\mathcal{F}_{j_1})] = [\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{j_1}), \mathcal{F}_{j_2}] \quad (1)$$

- The last equality in (1) follows from $[\mathcal{F}_{j_1}, \mathcal{F}_{j_2}] = 0$.
- (1): **compatible Lax equations**, for in practice it implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j_1}}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{j_2})) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j_2}}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{j_1})) - [\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{j_1}), \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{j_2})] = 0,$$

a set of **zero curvature relations**.

Pseudo differential operators 1

- R k -algebra, $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , ∂ k -linear derivation of R .
- $R[\partial] = \{\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i, a_i \in R \text{ for all } i \geq 0\}$
- Assume $\{\partial^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ R -linear independent. Then

$R[\partial] \subset R[\partial, \partial^{-1}] = \text{Psd}$, the pseudo differential operators .

- Psd: extension of $R[\partial]$ with integral operators $\{\partial^m \mid m < 0\}$.
- For all m and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\partial^n \partial^m = \partial^{n+m} \text{ and } \partial^0 \text{ is the unit element.}$$

Pseudo differential operators 2

- Pseudo differential operators

$$\text{Psd} = R[\partial, \partial^{-1}] = \left\{ p = \sum_{j=-\infty}^N p_j \partial^j, p_j \in R \right\},$$

- Significant class of invertible elements in $R[\partial, \partial^{-1}]$:

Lemma

Every scalar pseudo differential operator $P = \sum_{j \leq m} p_j \partial^j$, with $p_m \in R^*$, has an inverse P^{-1} of the form

$$P^{-1} = \sum_{i \leq -m} q_i \partial^i, \text{ with } q_{-m} = p_m^{-1}.$$

- **Dressing** $P \in R[\partial, \partial^{-1}]$ with $B \in R[\partial, \partial^{-1}]^*$: BPB^{-1} .

Pseudo differential operators 3

- Taking roots in Psd:

Lemma

Consider any monic pseudo differential operator

$$U = \partial^m + \sum_{i < m} u_{m-i} \partial^i$$

of order $m \geq 1$. There is a unique monic pseudo differential operator of order one

$$U^{\frac{1}{m}} = L = \partial + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \ell_{1+i} \partial^{-i},$$

with $U = (U^{\frac{1}{m}})^m$. We call $U^{\frac{1}{m}}$ the m -th root of U .

Pseudo difference operators 1

- Commutative k -algebra R , $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .
- $M_{\mathbb{Z}}(R) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrices, coefficients from R
- $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{\mathbb{Z}}(R) :$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & \mathbf{a}_{n-1 \ n-1} & a_{n-1 \ n} & a_{n-1 \ n+1} & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & a_{n \ n-1} & \mathbf{a}_{n \ n} & a_{n \ n+1} & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & a_{n+1 \ n-1} & a_{n+1 \ n} & \mathbf{a}_{n+1 \ n+1} & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Pseudo difference operators 2

- To $\{d(s) | s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in R is associated $\text{diag}(d(s))$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & \mathbf{d(n-1)} & 0 & & 0 & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & \mathbf{d(n)} & & 0 & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{d(n+1)} & & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

- Diagonal matrices:

$$\mathcal{D}_1(R) = \{d = \text{diag}(d(s)) | d(s) \in R \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Pseudo difference operators 3

- Shift matrix Λ

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

- Action of the $\{\Lambda^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on $\mathcal{D}_1(R)$:

$$\Lambda^m \text{diag}(d(s)) \Lambda^{-m} = \text{diag}(d(s+m)).$$

- Each $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$: decomposes uniquely

$$A = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i \Lambda^i, d_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)$$

Pseudo difference operators 4

- Lower triangular matrices

$$LT(R) = \{L \mid L = \sum_{i \leq N} l_i \Lambda^i, l_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)\}$$

- Each $L = \sum_{i \leq N} l_i \Lambda^i, l_N \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*$, is invertible.
- Consider a $L_0 = \sum_{i \leq 1} l_i \Lambda^i, l_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*$. Then:

$$L_0 = K_0 \Lambda K_0^{-1},$$

with $K_0 = \sum_{i \leq 0} k_i \Lambda^i, k_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R), k_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*$ and

$$LT(R) = \{P \mid P = \sum_{i \leq N} p_i L_0^i, p_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)\}$$

Pseudo difference operators 5

- Consider the invertible operator $\Delta := \Lambda - \text{Id}$:

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_n \\ x_{n+1} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ x_n - x_{n-1} \\ x_{n+1} - x_n \\ x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

- For the difference operator Δ we have

$$\text{Ps}\Delta = LT(R) = \left\{ L \mid L = \sum_{i \leq N} \ell_i \Delta^i, \ell_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R) \right\}$$

Elements of $\text{Ps}\Delta$ also called: *pseudo difference operators*.

Infinite Toda chain 1

- Particles on a straight line with nearest neighbour interaction:



- q_n is the displacement of the n -th particle, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Equations of motion in dimensionless form are described by

$$\frac{dq_n}{dt} = p_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dp_n}{dt} = e^{-(q_n - q_{n-1})} - e^{-(q_{n+1} - q_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- Put

$$a_n := \frac{1}{2} e^{-(q_n - q_{n-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n := \frac{1}{2} p_n.$$

Infinite Toda chain 2

- Introduce the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrices L resp. B by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & 0 \\ \ddots & \mathbf{b}_{n-1} & a_n & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & a_n & \mathbf{b}_n & a_{n+1} & \ddots \\ & 0 & a_{n+1} & \mathbf{b}_{n+1} & \ddots \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & 0 \\ \ddots & \mathbf{0} & a_n & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & -a_n & \mathbf{0} & a_{n+1} & \ddots \\ & 0 & -a_{n+1} & \mathbf{0} & \ddots \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

- Equations of motion equivalent to:

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = -BL + LB = [L, B].$$

Decompositions in $\text{Ps}\Delta$ 1

- Consider in LT the Lie subalgebra

$$LT_{\geq 0} := \left\{ A = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} a_j \Lambda^j \mid \text{all } a_j \in \mathcal{D}_1(R) \right\}$$

- We write $\pi_{\geq 0}$ for the projection of LT onto $LT_{\geq 0}$,

$$\pi_{\geq 0} \left(\sum_{-\infty \leq j \leq N} a_j \Lambda^j \right) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} a_j \Lambda^j.$$

- Similarly, we have the Lie subalgebras $LT_{< 0}$, $LT_{\leq 0}$, $LT_{> 0}$ and the respective projections $\pi_{< 0}$, $\pi_{\leq 0}$ and $\pi_{> 0}$.
- A $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrix A for which there is an $N \geq 0$ such that

$$A = \sum_{-N \leq j \leq N} a_j \Lambda^j, \quad a_j \in \mathcal{D}_1(R) \quad (2)$$

is called a **finite band** matrix in $M_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$.

- This set of matrices is a Lie subalgebra and is denoted by \mathcal{FB} .

Decompositions in $\text{Ps}\Delta$ 2

- Inside \mathcal{FB} we have the antisymmetric matrices

$$\mathcal{FB}_{as}(R) = \mathcal{FB}_{as} = \{X \in \mathcal{FB} \mid X^T = -X\}$$

- There is a natural projection π_{as} from LT to \mathcal{FB}_{as}

$$\pi_{as}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} a_j \Lambda^j\right) = \sum_{j \geq 1} (a_j \Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j} a_j),$$

with $LT_{\leq 0}$ as a kernel.

- Note that at the infinite Toda chain, we had $\pi_{as}(L) = B$.
- This gives the following 3 decompositions of LT :

$$LT = LT_{\geq 0} \oplus LT_{< 0},$$

$$LT = LT_{> 0} \oplus LT_{\leq 0},$$

$$LT = \mathcal{FB}_{as} \oplus LT_{\leq 0}.$$

Decompositions in Psd 1

- First decomposition in Psd:

$$P = \sum_j P_j \partial^j = \sum_{j < 0} P_j \partial^j + \sum_{j \geq 0} P_j \partial^j = P_{<0} + P_{\geq 0}$$

- Lie algebra $\text{Psd} = \text{Psd}_{<0} \oplus \text{Psd}_{\geq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$
- Group corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_1

$$G_1 = \left\{ g = 1 + \sum_{j < 0} g_j \partial^j, g_j \in R \right\}$$

Decompositions in Psd 2

- Second decomposition in Psd:

$$P = \sum_j P_j \partial^j = \sum_{j \leq 0} P_j \partial^j + \sum_{j > 0} P_j \partial^j = P_{\leq 0} + P_{> 0}$$

- Lie algebra decomposition $\text{Psd} = \text{Psd}_{\leq 0} \oplus \text{Psd}_{> 0}$
- Group corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_1

$$G_1 = \left\{ g = \sum_{j \leq 0} g_j \partial^j, g_j \in R, g_0 \in R^* \right\}$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta$ 1

- Each decomposition starting point of a compatible set of Lax equations
- Given R , set $\{\partial_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ of commuting derivations of R
- Example: $R = k[t_i \mid i \geq 1]$ or $R = k[[t_i \mid i \geq 1]]$ and

$$\partial_i := \partial_{t_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}.$$

- Consider the first decomposition in $\text{Ps}\Delta$:

$$LT_{\geq 0}(\Lambda) \oplus LT_{< 0}(\Lambda) = \text{Ps}\Delta_{\geq 0} \oplus \text{Ps}\Delta_{< 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta_2$

- Group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \text{Ps}\Delta_{<0}$:

$$U_- = \{\text{Id} + B \mid B \in \text{Ps}\Delta_{<0}\}$$

- Basic commuting directions : the $\{\Lambda^k \mid k \geq 1\}$
- Deformation of Λ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \Lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i \Lambda^{1-i}, d_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R).$$

- Examples: $\mathcal{L} = U\Lambda U^{-1}$, with $U \in U_-$.

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta_3$

- Let $\mathcal{B}_r := (\mathcal{L}^r)_{\geq 0}$, $r \geq 1$.
- Search for deformations \mathcal{L} that satisfy:

$$\partial_{k_1}(\mathcal{L}^{k_2}) = [\mathcal{B}_{k_1}, \mathcal{L}^{k_2}] = [\mathcal{L}^{k_2}, \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0}^{k_1}], k_1 \text{ and } k_2 \geq 1.$$

- Sufficient the Lax equations for \mathcal{L}

$$\partial_{k_1}(\mathcal{L}) = [\mathcal{B}_{k_1}, \mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_{< 0}^{k_1}], k_1 \geq 1,$$

the **Lower Triangular Toda (LTT)-hierarchy**.

- For each solution \mathcal{L} the *zero curvature relations* hold:

$$\partial_{k_1}(\mathcal{B}_{k_2}) - \partial_{k_2}(\mathcal{B}_{k_1}) - [\mathcal{B}_{k_1}, \mathcal{B}_{k_2}] = 0, k_1 \text{ and } k_2 \geq 1.$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta$ 4

- Next relevant decomposition in $\text{Ps}\Delta$:

$$LT_{>0}(\Lambda) \oplus LT_{\leq 0}(\Lambda) = \text{Ps}\Delta_{>0} \oplus \text{Ps}\Delta_{\leq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

- Group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \text{Ps}\Delta_{\leq 0}$:

$$P_- = \{d \text{Id} + B \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*, B \in \text{Ps}\Delta_{<0}\}.$$

- Basic commuting directions : the $\{\Lambda^k \mid k \geq 1\}$.
- Deformation of Λ :

$$\mathcal{M} = d_0 \Lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i \Lambda^{1-i}, \quad d_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R) \text{ and } d_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*.$$

- Examples: $\mathcal{M} = P\Lambda P^{-1}$, with $P \in P_-$.

Compatible Lax equations in $\mathbb{P}_S\Delta$ 5

- Consider the cut-off's $\mathcal{C}_r := (\mathcal{M}^r)_{>0}$, $r \geq 1$.
- Search for deformations \mathcal{M} that satisfy:

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{M}^{r_2}) = [\mathcal{C}_{r_1}, \mathcal{M}^{r_2}] = [\mathcal{M}^{r_2}, \mathcal{M}_{\leq 0}^{r_1}], r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1.$$

- Sufficient Lax equations for \mathcal{M} the

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{M}) = [\mathcal{C}_{r_1}, \mathcal{M}] = [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_{\leq 0}^{r_1}], r_1 \geq 1,$$

the **Strict Lower Triangular Toda (SLTT)-hierarchy**.

- Consequence: *zero curvature relations*

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{C}_{r_2}) - \partial_{r_2}(\mathcal{C}_{r_1}) - [\mathcal{C}_{r_1}, \mathcal{C}_{r_2}] = 0, r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1.$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta_6$

- The last relevant decomposition in $\text{Ps}\Delta$:

$$\mathcal{FB}_{as} \oplus LT_{\leq 0} = \text{Ps}\Delta_{as} \oplus \text{Ps}\Delta_{\leq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

- Group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \text{Ps}\Delta_{\leq 0}$:

$$P_- = \{d \text{Id} + B \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*, B \in \text{Ps}\Delta_{<0}\}.$$

- Basic commuting directions : the $\{\Lambda^r - \Lambda^{-r} \mid r \geq 1\}$.
- Commuting deformations of the $\{\Lambda^r - \Lambda^{-r}\}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_r = m_0 \Lambda^r + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i \Lambda^{r-i}, m_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(R) \text{ and } m_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)^*.$$

- Examples: $\mathcal{F}_r = P(\Lambda^r - \Lambda^{-r})P^{-1}$, with $P \in P_-$.

Compatible Lax equations in $\mathbb{P}_S\Delta$ 7

- Consider the cut-off's $\mathcal{E}_r := \pi_{as}(\mathcal{M}_r)$, $r \geq 1$.
- Search for deformations \mathcal{F}_r that satisfy:

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{F}_{r_2}) = [\mathcal{M}_{r_2}, \mathcal{E}_{r_1}] = [\pi_{as}^c(\mathcal{M}_{r_1}), \mathcal{M}_{r_2}], r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1,$$

where $\pi_{as}^c = \text{Id} - \pi_{as}$ is a projection on $LT_{\leq 0}$.

- This is called the **Infinite Toda Chain (ITC)-hierarchy**.
- These $\{-\mathcal{E}_r\}$ satisfy the *zero curvature relations*

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{E}_{r_2}) - \partial_{r_2}(\mathcal{E}_{r_1}) - [\mathcal{E}_{r_2}, \mathcal{E}_{r_1}] = 0, r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1.$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\mathbb{P}_S\Delta$ 8

- \mathcal{L} solution of the LTT-hierarchy, $\mathcal{A}_k := -(\mathcal{L}^k)_{<0}$, $k \geq 1$.
- Zero curvature relations for the $\{\mathcal{A}_k \mid k \geq 1\}$:

$$\partial_{k_1}(\mathcal{A}_{k_2}) - \partial_{k_2}(\mathcal{A}_{k_1}) - [\mathcal{A}_{k_1}, \mathcal{A}_{k_2}] = 0, k_1 \text{ and } k_2 \geq 1.$$

- \mathcal{M} solution of the SLTT-hierarchy, $\mathcal{D}_r := -(\mathcal{M}^r)_{\leq 0}$, $r \geq 1$.
- Zero curvature for the $\{\mathcal{D}_r \mid r \geq 1\}$:

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{D}_{r_2}) - \partial_{r_2}(\mathcal{D}_{r_1}) - [\mathcal{D}_{r_1}, \mathcal{D}_{r_2}] = 0, r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1.$$

- $\{\mathcal{F}_r\}$ solutions of ITC-hierarchy, $\mathcal{G}_r := \pi_{as}^c(\mathcal{F}_r)$, $r \geq 1$.
- Zero curvature for the $\{\mathcal{G}_r \mid r \geq 1\}$:

$$\partial_{r_1}(\mathcal{G}_{r_2}) - \partial_{r_2}(\mathcal{G}_{r_1}) - [\mathcal{G}_{r_1}, \mathcal{G}_{r_2}] = 0, r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1.$$

Compatible Lax equations in $\text{Ps}\Delta$ 9

- \mathcal{L} potential solution LTT-hierarchy, $\mathcal{A}_k := -(\mathcal{L}^k)_{<0}, k \geq 1$.
- Related Cauchy problem: find a $u \in U_-(R)$ s.t. for all $k \geq 1$

$$\partial_k(u) = \mathcal{A}_k u, \quad (3)$$

- \mathcal{M} potential solution SLTT-hierarchy, $\mathcal{D}_r := -(\mathcal{M}^r)_{\leq 0}, r \geq 1$.
- Related Cauchy problem: find a $p \in P_-(R)$ s.t. for all $r \geq 1$

$$\partial_r(p) = \mathcal{D}_r p, \quad (4)$$

- $\{\mathcal{F}_r\}$ potential solutions ITC-hierarchy
- Related Cauchy problem: find a $g \in P_-(R)$ s.t. for all $j \geq 1$

$$\partial_j(g) = \pi_{LT,as}^c(\mathcal{F}_j)g, \quad (5)$$

Compatible Lax equations in Psd

- Decomposition $\text{Psd} = \text{Psd}_{<0} \oplus \text{Psd}_{\geq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$
- Deformation $L = \partial + \sum_{i \geq 1} \ell_{i+1} \partial^{-i}$, $B_k = (L^k)_{\geq 0}$
- Examples: $L = P\partial P^{-1}$, $P \in G_1$, $P = \text{Id} + \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i \partial^{-i}$
- Assume R has a collection of k -linear derivations $\{\partial_k \mid k \geq 1\}$, all commuting with ∂
- Lax equations of the **KP hierarchy**

$$\partial_{k_1}(L^{k_2}) = [B_{k_1}, L^{k_2}] = [L^{k_2}, L_{<0}^{k_1}], k_1 \text{ and } k_2 \geq 1.$$

Compatible Lax equations in Psd 2

- Decomposition $\text{Psd} = \text{Psd}_{\leq 0} \oplus \text{Psd}_{> 0} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$
- Consider deformations

$$M = \partial + m_1 + m_2 \partial^{-1} + \dots$$

- Examples: $M = P\partial P^{-1}$,
 $P \in G_1, P = p_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} p_i \partial^{-i}, p_0 \in R^*$
- R and $\{\partial_r \mid r \geq 1\}$ as above
- Let $C_r = (M^r)_{> 0}, r \geq 1$.
- **Strict KP hierarchy** for M and its powers:

$$\partial_{r_1}(M^{r_2}) = [C_{r_1}, M^{r_2}] = [M^{r_2}, M_{\leq 0}^{r_1}], r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \geq 1$$

Linearizations 1

- Linearization of LTT-hierarchy:

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = \varphi\Lambda,$$

$$\partial_k(\varphi) = \pi_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{L}^k)\varphi \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

- Linearization of SLTT-hierarchy:

$$\mathcal{M}\psi = \psi\Lambda$$

$$\partial_r(\psi) = \pi_{> 0}(\mathcal{M}^r)\psi \text{ for all } r \geq 1.$$

- Linearization of ITC-hierarchy:

$$\mathcal{F}_j\phi = \phi(\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j}) \text{ for all } j \geq 1,$$

$$\partial_j(\phi) = -\pi_{as}(\mathcal{F}_j)\phi \text{ for all } j \geq 1.$$

Linearizations 2

- For suitable φ, ψ, ϕ the linearization implies the Lax equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial_{j_1}(\mathcal{F}_{j_2}\phi - \phi(\Lambda^{j_2} - \Lambda^{-j_2})) \\
 &= \partial_{j_1}(\mathcal{F}_{j_2})\phi + \mathcal{F}_{j_2}(\partial_{j_1}(\phi)) - (\partial_{j_1}(\phi))(\Lambda^{j_2} - \Lambda^{-j_2}) \\
 &= \partial_{j_1}(\mathcal{F}_{j_2})\phi - \mathcal{F}_{j_2}\pi_{as}(\mathcal{F}_{j_1})\phi + \pi_{as}(\mathcal{F}_{j_1})\phi(\Lambda^{j_2} - \Lambda^{-j_2}) \\
 &= \{\partial_{j_1}(\mathcal{F}_{j_2}) - [\mathcal{F}_{j_2}, \pi_{as}(\mathcal{F}_{j_1})]\}\phi = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- φ, ψ, ϕ belong to a $\text{Ps}\Delta$ -module of perturbations of the solution of the linearization corresponding to the trivial solutions of the hierarchies:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Lambda, \mathcal{M} = \Lambda, \mathcal{F}_j = \Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j}.$$

- For *LTT*- and *SLTT*-hierarchy:

$$\varphi_0 = \psi_0 = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \Lambda^k\right)$$

Linearizations 3

- For *ITC*-hierarchy:

$$\phi_0 = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -t_k(\Lambda^k - \Lambda^{-k})\right)$$

- Appropriate $\text{Ps}\Delta$ -module for *ITC*-hierarchy: $M(\text{ITC})$.
- $M(\text{ITC})$ consists of formal products:

$$\{\ell\}\phi_0 = \left\{ \sum_{j=-\infty}^N d_j \Lambda^j \right\} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} -t_j(\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j})\right), \text{ where } \ell \in \text{Ps}\Delta.$$

- Elements of $M(\text{ITC})$ are called *oscillating matrices*.

Linearizations 4

- Ps Δ -action on $M(ITC)$:

$$\ell_1\{\ell_2\}\phi_0 = \{\ell_1\ell_2\}\phi_0.$$

- Right multiplication with $\{\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j}\}$

$$\{\ell\}\phi_0(\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j}) := \{\ell(\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j})\}\phi_0.$$

- Action of the derivations ∂_j on $M(ITC)$:

$$\partial_j(\{\sum_{j=-\infty}^N d_j \Lambda^j\}\phi_0) = \{\sum_{j=-\infty}^N \partial_j(d_j) \Lambda^j\} - \sum_{j=-\infty}^N d_j \Lambda^j (\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j})\}\phi_0.$$

- $M(ITC)$ is a free Ps Δ -module with generator ϕ_0

Linearizations 5

- An oscillating matrix $\phi = \hat{\phi}\phi_0$, with $\hat{\phi} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^m d_i \Lambda^i$, with d_m invertible, is called a **wave matrix** for the matrices $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}$, if it satisfies the linearization.
- The $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}$ form then a solution of the *ITC*-hierarchy
- It even suffices to show:

Proposition

Let $\phi = \hat{\phi}\phi_0$, with $\hat{\phi} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^m d_i \Lambda^i$ and $d_m \in \mathcal{D}_1(R)$ invertible, be an oscillating matrix. If it satisfies for all $j \geq 1$

$$\partial_j(\phi) = G_j \phi, \text{ with } G_j \in \mathcal{FB}_{as},$$

then $G_j = -\pi_{as}(\mathcal{F}_j)$, where $\mathcal{F}_j := \hat{\phi}(\Lambda^j - \Lambda^{-j})\hat{\phi}^{-1}$. In particular the $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}$ form a solution to the *ITC*-hierarchy and ϕ is a wave matrix for this solution.

Linearizations 6

- To get the oscillating matrices of the *LTT*- resp. *SLTT*-hierarchy, replace ϕ_0 by φ_0 , resp. ψ_0 .
- **Wave matrices** at the *LTT*-hierarchy have the form

$$\hat{\varphi}\varphi_0 = \{\text{Id} \Lambda^N + \sum_{j < N} d_j \Lambda^j\} \varphi_0$$

and lead to a solution $\mathcal{L} = \hat{\varphi} \Lambda \hat{\varphi}^{-1}$ of the *LTT*-hierarchy.

- **Wave matrices** at the *SLTT*-hierarchy have the form

$$\hat{\psi}\psi_0 = \left\{ \sum_{j \leq N} d_j \Lambda^j \right\} \psi_0, \text{ with } d_N \text{ invertible}$$

and lead to a solution $\mathcal{M} = \hat{\psi} \Lambda \hat{\psi}^{-1}$ of the *SLTT*-hierarchy.

- Similar Propositions hold in the *LTT*- resp. *SLTT*-case.

Linearizations 7

- Linearization of the KP hierarchy:

$$L\varphi = \varphi z,$$

$$\partial_k(\varphi) = \pi_{\geq 0}(L^k)\varphi \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

- Linearization of the strict KP hierarchy:

$$M\psi = \psi z$$

$$\partial_r(\psi) = \pi_{> 0}(M^r)\psi \text{ for all } r \geq 1.$$

- φ resp. ψ **wave functions** of the KP resp. strict KP hierarchy

$$\varphi = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i < 0} a_i z^i \right\} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k z^k \right) \text{ all } a_i \in R,$$

$$\psi = \left\{ \sum_{i \leq 0} b_i z^i \right\} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k z^k \right), \text{ all } b_i \in R, b_0 \in R^*.$$

Geometric construction of solutions 1

- To get $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrices: take a Hilbert space H with Hilbert basis $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For each bounded operator $b : H \rightarrow H$, a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -matrix $[b] = (b_{ij})$ by the formula

$$b(e_j) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{ij} e_i.$$

- Choice of \mathcal{H} for 3 Ps Δ -hierarchies:

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \vec{x} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n \vec{e}(n) \mid x_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

- We put the standard inner product on \mathcal{H}

$$\langle \vec{x} \mid \vec{y} \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n y_n \text{ or } \langle \vec{x} \mid \vec{y} \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n \bar{y}_n$$

- $\{\vec{e}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}

Geometric construction of solutions 2

- For each $b \in B(\mathcal{H})$,

$$b(\vec{x}) = [b]\vec{x} = M_{[b]}\vec{x},$$

where $[b]$ is the matrix of b w.r.t. this basis

- For $j \geq 1$, operator norms of $M_{\mathcal{N}_j}$ and $M_{\mathcal{N}_{-\Lambda-j}}$ satisfy

$$\|M_{\mathcal{N}_j}\| = 1, \|M_{\mathcal{N}_{-\Lambda-j}}\| \leq 2.$$

- Choose our parameters $t = (t_j)$ out of the space

$$\ell_1(\mathbb{N}) = \{t = (t_j) \mid \text{all } t_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_j| < \infty\},$$

equipped with the norm $\|t\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_j|$.

- Define analytic maps $\gamma_{1,2}$ and γ_3 from $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ to $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\gamma_{1,2}(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j M_{\mathcal{N}_j}\right) \text{ resp. } \gamma_3(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} -t_j M_{\mathcal{N}_{-\Lambda-j}}\right)$$

Geometric construction of solutions 3

- Matrices van $\gamma_{1,2}(t)$ and $\gamma_3(t)$:

$$[\gamma_{1,2}(t)] = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^i\right), [\gamma_3(t)] = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} -t_i (\Lambda^i - \Lambda^{-i})\right)$$

- Relevant group in all cases

$$G(2) = \left\{ g = (g_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{H}) \mid g - \text{Id} \in S_2(\mathcal{H}) \right\},$$

where the ideal $S_2(\mathcal{H})$ of Hilbert Schmidt operators, consists of all bounded operators $A : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|A\|_2^2 := \text{trace}(A^*A) = \text{trace}(|A|^2) < \infty.$$

Geometric construction of solutions 4

- Each $b \in B(H)$ decomposes as $b = u_-(b) + p_+(b)$, with

$$[u_-(b)] = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & b_{n \ n-1} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & b_{n+1 \ n-1} & b_{n+1 \ n} & \mathbf{0} & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[p_+(b)] = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \mathbf{b}_{n-1 \ n-1} & b_{n-1 \ n} & b_{n-1 \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & \mathbf{b}_{n \ n} & b_{n \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{b}_{n+1 \ n+1} & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Geometric construction of solutions 5

- subgroups of $G(2)$:

- $U_- := \{g = \text{Id} + u_-(g) \mid g \in G(2)\}$,
- $B_+ := \{g = p_+(g) \mid g \in G(2)\}$,
- $U_+ := \{g \in B_+ \mid g_{ii} = 1, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,
- $B_- := \{g \in G(2) \mid g_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i < j\}$
- $B_-^+ := \{g \in B_- \mid g_{ii} > 0 \text{ for all } i\}$
- $O(G(2)) = \{g \in G(2) \mid gg^T = \text{Id}\}$

- Big cell in $G(2)$: $\Omega = U_- B_+ = B_- U_+$,

- Relevant decomposition for *LTT*-hierarchy: for all $g \in \Omega$

$$g = u_-(g).b_+(g)$$

- Relevant decomposition for *SLTT*-hierarchy: for all $g \in \Omega$

$$g = b_-(g).u_+(g)$$

- Basic decomposition for *ITC*-hierarchy: $G(2) = B_-^+ O(G(2))$

- Each $g \in G(2)$, $g = b_-^+(g).o(g)$.

Geometric construction of solutions 6

- For each $g \in G(2)$, the following set is non-empty, open and dense

$$\{t \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N}) \mid \gamma_{1,2}(t)g\gamma_{1,2}(t)^{-1} \in \Omega\}.$$

- In the *LTT*- and *SLTT*-case, choose the algebra of coefficients

$$R_g := C^\infty(\{t \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N}) \mid \gamma_{1,2}(t)g\gamma_{1,2}(t)^{-1} \in \Omega\}),$$

with the derivations $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, i \geq 1$.

- In the *ITC*-case we take

$$R_g := C^\infty(\ell_1(\mathbb{N})),$$

with the derivations $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, i \geq 1$.

- Define $\Phi_1(t) = u_-(\gamma_{1,2}(t)g\gamma_{1,2}(t)^{-1}).[\gamma_{1,2}(t)]$.
- Define $\Phi_2(t) = b_-(\gamma_{1,2}(t)g\gamma_{1,2}(t)^{-1}).[\gamma_{1,2}(t)]$.
- Define $\Phi_3(t) = b_-^+(\gamma_3(t)g\gamma_3(t)^{-1}).[\gamma_3(t)]$.

Geometric construction of solutions 7

Theorem

There holds:

- (a) Let $g \in G(2)$. Then Φ_1 is a wave matrix for the LTT-hierarchy and for each coset $gB_+ \in G(2)/B_+$ there is a \mathcal{L}_{gB_+} in $Ps\Delta$ that is a solution of the LTT-hierarchy.
- (b) Let $g \in G(2)$. Then Φ_2 is a wave matrix for the SLTT-hierarchy and for each coset $gU_+ \in G(2)/U_+$ there is a \mathcal{M}_{gU_+} in $Ps\Delta$ that is a solution of the SLTT-hierarchy.
- (c) Let $g \in G(2)$. Then Φ_3 is a wave matrix for the ITC-hierarchy and for each coset $gO(G(2)) \in G(2)/O(G(2))$ there is a set $\{(\mathcal{F}_j)_{gU_+} \mid j \geq 1\}$ in $Ps\Delta$ that forms a solution of the ITC-hierarchy.

Geometric construction of solutions 8

- For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_i := \left\{ \sum_{n \leq i} a_n \vec{e}_n \in \mathcal{H} \right\}.$$

- The $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}$ form the basic flag

$$\cdots \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_{i+1} \cdots,$$

corresponding to $\text{Id } B_+$.

- To gB_+ corresponds the flag $\mathcal{F}_{gB_+} = \{W_i = g\mathcal{H}_i\}$:

$$\cdots g\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset g\mathcal{H}_i \subset g\mathcal{H}_{i+1} \cdots$$

- To gU_+ corresponds the flag $\mathcal{F}_{gB_+} = \{W_i = g\mathcal{H}_i\}$ and the basis $\{f_i\}$,

$$f_i \neq 0, f_i \in W_i/W_{i-1}.$$

- $O(G(2))$ is the fixed point set in $G(2)$ of the involution $\sigma(g) = (g^T)^{-1}$. Thus $G(2)/O(G(2))$ is a symmetric space.

Geometric construction of solutions 9

- Hilbert space for KP and strict KP:

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

- Decomposition $H = H_- \oplus H_+$, where

$$H_- = \left\{ \sum_{n < 0} a_n z^n \in H \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_+ = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n \in H \right\}$$

- The inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ is given by

$$\left\langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n \mid \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_m z^m \right\rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \bar{b}_n.$$

- Relevant Grassmanian : $\text{Gr}^{(0)}(H) = \{gH_+ \mid g \in G(2)\}$

Geometric construction of solutions 10

- Thus $\text{Gr}^{(0)}(H)$ equals the homogeneous space $G(2)/P_1$ with P_1 the stabilizer of H_+ in $G(2)$.
- P_1 is w.r.t. the decomposition $H = H_- \oplus H_+$ given by

$$P_1 = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{--} & 0 \\ g_{+-} & g_{++} \end{pmatrix} \in G(2) \right\}.$$

- $G(2)$ acts on the pairs (W, ℓ) , where ℓ is a line in W , by

$$(W, \ell) \mapsto (gW, g\ell).$$

- The stabilizer P_2 of the pair $(H_+, \langle z^0 \rangle)$ is given by

$$\{g \in P_1 \mid g_{++} \langle z^0 \rangle = \langle z^0 \rangle\}$$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION