Dispersionless integrable hierarchies and GL(2) geometry

Boris Kruglikov

UiT the Arctic University of Norway

(based on joint work with E. Ferapontov)



Paraconformal structures

Paraconformal or GL(2) geometry on an *n*-dimensional manifold M is defined by a field of rational normal curves of degree n-1 in the projectivised cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}T^*M$. Equivalently, for a coframe $\{\omega_i\}$ on M it can be viewed as a field of 1-forms

$$\omega(\lambda) = \omega_0 + \lambda \omega_1 + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}.$$

This field and the parameter λ are defined up to transformations

$$\lambda \mapsto \frac{a\lambda + b}{c\lambda + d}, \quad \omega(\lambda) \mapsto r(c\lambda + d)^{n-1}\omega(\lambda),$$

where $a, b, c, d, r \in C^{\infty}(M)$, ad - bc = 1, $r \neq 0$.

Conventionally, a GL(2) geometry is defined by a field of rational normal curves in the projectivised *tangent* bundle $\mathbb{P}TM$.

Both pictures are projectively dual: the equation $\omega(\lambda) = 0$ defines a one-parameter family of hyperplanes that osculate a dual rational normal curve $\tilde{\omega}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}TM$.



Dispersionless integrable hierarchies and GL(2) geometry

GL(2) geometry arises in:

- **Poisson geometry:** Compatible bivectors of Kronecker type on an odd-dimensional manifold give rise to GL(2) structures, identified by Gelfand and Zakharevich as Veronese webs in the theory of bi-Hamiltonian integrable systems.
- Exotic holonomy: In 4D there exist torsion-free affine connections whose holonomy group is the irreducible representation of GL(2), yielding parallel GL(2) structures. This was observed by Bryant, giving the first example of a holonomy not appearing on the Berger list.
- Algebraic geometry: By the deformation theory of Kodaira, the moduli space of rational curves in a compact complex surface C ⊂ X with the normal bundle O(n) has dimension (n + 1). It also carries a canonical GL(2) structure, studied by Cartan and Hitchin for n = 2, by Bryant for n = 3 and by Dunajski-Tod and Krynski for general n.



・ロッ ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

GL(2) geometry arises in:

- Ordinary differential equations: For every scalar ODE of order *n* with vanishing Wünschmann (Doubrov-Wilczynski) invariants, its local solution space M^n is canonically endowed with a GL(2) structure. This class of ODEs, by a result of Cap-Doubrov-The, satisfies the C-property of Cartan: every differential invariant is an integral.
- Grassmann geometry: The Segre structure induces a generalised conformal structure on submanifolds M in Grassmannians. Thus, a four-fold $\mathcal{E}^4 \subset Gr(3,5)$ carries a canonical GL(2) structure. In Doubrov-Ferapontov-Kruglikov-Novikov this encoded integrability of the resp 1st order system of PDEs with 2 independent and 3 dependent variables. Similarly, a canonical GL(2) structure on a hypersurface in the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathcal{E}^5 \subset LG(3,6)$ encodes integrability of the resp 2nd order PDE.



Jointly with E. Ferapontov we established that dispersionless integrable hierarchies of PDEs in 3D provide GL(2) geometry on the solutions. In fact, the GL(2) structure is given by the characteristic variety of the truncated hierarchy.

Moreover, the structures arising in such hierarchies provide explicit examples of particularly interesting classes of involutive GL(2) structures studied in the literature.

In this way we obtain torsion-free GL(2) structures of Bryant as well as totally geodesic GL(2) structures of Krynski. The latter possess a compatible affine connection (with torsion) and a two-parameter family of totally geodesic α -manifolds, making them a natural generalisation of the Einstein-Weyl geometry.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Ex 1. Veronese web hierarchy:

compatible system for $1 \le i < j < k \le n$ starting from n = 3:

$$(c_i - c_j)u_k u_{ij} + (c_j - c_k)u_i u_{jk} + (c_k - c_i)u_j u_{ik} = 0.$$

The characteristic variety is defined by a system of quadrics

$$(c_i - c_j)u_k p_i p_j + (c_j - c_k)u_i p_j p_k + (c_k - c_i)u_j p_i p_k = 0,$$

which specify a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}T^*M$ parametrised as $p_i = \frac{u_i}{\lambda - c_i}$ (the ideal of a rational normal curve is generated by quadrics)

$$\omega(\lambda) = p_i dx^i = \sum \frac{u_i}{\lambda - c_i} dx^i;$$

Veronese system is equivalent to the Frobenius intergability conditions of the isospectral Lax representation

$$X_i = u_1(\lambda - c_i)\partial_{x^i} - u_i(\lambda - c_1)\partial_{x^1}, \quad 1 < i \le n.$$



Ex 2. The dKP hierarchy:

$$u_{i,j+1} - u_{j,i+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{i} u_{i-k} u_{jk} - \sum_{k=1}^{j} u_{j-k} u_{ik} = 0, \quad 1 \le i < j.$$

For i = 1, j = 2, $x^1 = x$, $x^2 = y$, $x^3 = t$ we get the dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation $u_{xt} - u_{yy} - u_x u_{xx} = 0$. The characteristic variety is the intersection of quadrics

$$p_i p_{j+1} - p_j p_{i+1} + \sum_{k=1}^i u_{i-k} p_j p_k - \sum_{k=1}^j u_{j-k} p_i p_k = 0.$$

It defines a rational normal curve, parametrised as $p_1 = 1$ and

$$p_{i+1} = \lambda p_i + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{i-k} p_k, \quad i \ge 1.$$

The dispersionless Lax representation is nonisospectral

$$X_i = \partial_{x^i} - \lambda \partial_{x^{i-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} u_{i-k-1} \partial_{x^k} + u_{1,i-1} \partial_{\lambda}, \quad 1 < i.$$

Dispersionless integrable hierarchies and GL(2) geometry

Ex 3. The universal hierarchy:

$$u_{i,j+1} - u_{i+1,j} + u_j u_{1,i} - u_i u_{1,j} = 0, \quad 0 < i < j < n,$$

starting from n = 3, in which case the equation is

$$u_{xt} - u_{yy} + u_y u_{xx} - u_x u_{xy} = 0.$$

The characteristic variety of this system is a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}T^*M$ parametrised as

$$p_x = 1, p_y = \lambda - u_x, p_t = \lambda^2 - u_x \lambda - u_y, p_z = \lambda^3 - u_x \lambda^2 - u_y \lambda - u_t, \dots$$

and so the ${\cal GL}(2)$ structure is given by

$$\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda^{i-1} - u_1 \lambda^{i-2} - \dots - u_{i-1}) \, dx^i;$$

The dispersionless Lax representation is

$$X_{i} = \partial_{x^{i}} - (\lambda^{i-1} - u_{1}\lambda^{i-2} - \dots - u_{i-1})\partial_{x^{1}}, \quad 1 < i \le n.$$



α -integrability and twistors

For $x \in M$, α -hyperplanes in T_xM are given by the equation $\omega(\lambda) = 0$; parametrised by $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$. A hypersurface $S \subset M$ is an α -manifold if T_xS is α -hyperplane for each $x \in S$.

Definition

A GL(2) structure is *involutive* $\equiv \alpha$ -*integrable* if every α -hyperplane is tangential to some α -manifold.

In other words, the correspondence space \hat{M}^{n+1} , which is a \mathbb{P}^1 bundle over M^n , is locally foliated by α -manifolds Π^{n-1} . The following generalizes twistor correspondence for every solution u:





An affine connection ∇ is GL(2) compatible if $\nabla g = g$, where $g = \langle g_s \rangle$ is the ideal of quadratic forms defining the rational normal curve. In this form α -integrability generalizes the Weyl condition.

Types of canonical connections associated with $GL(2)\ {\rm structure}:$

- <u>Torsion-free</u>: \exists only in 4D, equiv to involutivity
- Totally geodesic: shown by Krynski to satisfy the generalized Einstein-Weyl property Ric^{sym}_∇ ∈ ğ; ∃ for linearly degenerate (isospectral) hierarchies
- <u>Normal</u>: T_∇ is trace-free and preserves α-hyperlances; totally geodesic connections are normal but not vise versa
- Projective: torsion-free connections possessing 2-parameter family of totally geodesic α -manifolds, not GL(2)-compatible

Normal and projective connections \exists for all hierarchies.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Theorem 1: Paremetrization

Every involutive GL(2) structure is locally of the form

$$\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\prod_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda - \frac{u_j}{v_j} \right) \right] u_i dx^i.$$

Here u and v are functions of (x^1, \ldots, x^n) , and subscripts denote partial derivatives: $u_i = u_{x^i}$, $v_i = v_{x^i}$. These functions satisfy a system of second-order PDEs, 2 equations for each quadruple of indices $1 \le i < j < k < l \le n$: $E_{ijkl} = 0$, $F_{ijkl} = 0$ with

$$E_{ijkl} = \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} (a_i - a_j)(a_k - a_l) \left(\frac{2u_{ij} - (a_i + a_j)v_{ij}}{u_i u_j} + \frac{2u_{kl} - (a_k + a_l)v_{kl}}{u_k u_l} \right)$$

$$F_{ijkl} = \underset{(jkl)}{\mathfrak{S}} (b_i - b_j) (b_k - b_l) \left(\frac{2v_{ij} - (b_i + b_j)u_{ij}}{v_i v_j} + \frac{2v_{kl} - (b_k + b_l)u_{kl}}{v_k v_l} \right)$$

where $a_i = \frac{u_i}{v_i}$, $b_i = \frac{v_i}{u_i}$, and \mathfrak{S} denotes cyclic summation.

Proof of Thm 1 (sketch)

The space of α -manifolds is parametrised by 1 arbitrary function of 1 variable. Choose n 1-parameter family of α -manifolds \equiv (local) foliations of M given by $\lambda = a_i(x)$ and rectify them: $\omega(a_i) = f_i dx^i$ (no summation). In this coordinate system:

$$\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big[\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda - a_j}{a_i - a_j} \Big] f_i dx^i.$$

Choose two extra 1-parameter families of α -manifolds: $\omega(a_{n+1}) = f_{n+1}du$ and $\omega(a_{n+2}) = f_{n+2}dv$, i.e.

$$f_i \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{a_{n+1} - a_j}{a_i - a_j} = f_{n+1}u_i, \quad f_i \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{a_{n+2} - a_j}{a_i - a_j} = f_{n+2}v_i.$$

Using the coordinate freedom send $a_{n+1} \to \infty$ and $a_{n+2} \to 0$ and use conformal freedom of $\omega(\lambda)$ to get its required formula. The above overdetermined PDE system (EF) is obtained from the integrability condition $d\omega(\lambda) \wedge \omega(\lambda) = 0$.

Proof of Thm 1 - end of the argument

Indeed, collecting coefficients at $dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k$ we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(jkl)} \frac{\lambda - a_i}{u_i} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - a_k} - \frac{1}{\lambda - a_j} \right) \lambda_i + S_{ijk} = 0, \qquad (\dagger)$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda_{x^i}$ and S_{ijk} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{ij} \frac{a_j - a_i}{u_i u_j} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_i} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_j} \right) + u_{ik} \frac{a_i - a_k}{u_i u_k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_i} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_k} \right) + u_{jk} \frac{a_k - a_j}{u_j u_k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_j} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - a_k} \right) \\ & - v_{ij} \frac{a_j - a_i}{u_i u_j} \left(\frac{\lambda a_i}{\lambda - a_i} + \frac{\lambda a_j}{\lambda - a_j} \right) - v_{ik} \frac{a_i - a_k}{u_i u_k} \left(\frac{\lambda a_i}{\lambda - a_i} + \frac{\lambda a_k}{\lambda - a_k} \right) - v_{jk} \frac{a_k - a_j}{u_j u_k} \left(\frac{\lambda a_j}{\lambda - a_j} + \frac{\lambda a_k}{\lambda - a_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by T_{ijk} the left-hand side of (†). For four distinct indices $i \neq j \neq k \neq l$ there are only two non-trivial linear combinations that do not contain derivatives of λ :

$$T_{ikj} + T_{ijl} + T_{ilk} + T_{jkl} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda - a_l} T_{ikj} + \frac{1}{\lambda - a_k} T_{ijl} + \frac{1}{\lambda - a_j} T_{ilk} + \frac{1}{\lambda - a_i} T_{jkl}.$$

The first linear combination is equal to zero identically, while the second combination vanishes iff relations (EF) are satisfied.

Thus system (EF) governing general involutive GL(2) structures results on elimination of the derivatives of λ from equations (†).



Theorem 2: Involutivity

For every value of n, the following holds:

- The characteristic variety of system (EF) is the tangential variety of the rational normal curve P¹ ∋ λ → ω(λ) ∈ Pⁿ⁻¹.
- The characteristic variety has degree 2n-4, and the rational normal curve can be recovered as its singular locus.
- System (EF) is in involution and its general solution depends on 2n 4 functions of 3 variables (for analytic/formal case).

Note that although the PDE system (EF) formally consists of $2\binom{n}{4}$ equations, only $2\binom{n-2}{2}$ of them are linearly independent: we can restrict to equations $E_{12kl} = 0$ and $F_{12kl} = 0$ for $3 \le k < l \le n$ since all other equations are their linear combinations.

For n = 4 system (EF) is determined: it consists of 2 second-order PDEs for 2 functions u and v of 4 independent variables, so the claim is instant, and this implies the count of Bryant.



Proof of Thm 2 - part 1

We parametrize the rational normal curve as

$$\lambda \mapsto [p_1 : \dots : p_n] \in \mathbb{P}T^*M, \quad p_i = \frac{u_i}{\lambda - a_i}, \quad a_i = \frac{u_i}{v_i}, \quad (\dagger)$$

so that its tangential variety is given by

$$(\lambda,\mu) \mapsto [p_1:\cdots:p_n] \in \mathbb{P}T^*M, \quad p_i = \frac{u_i}{\lambda - a_i} + \frac{u_i\mu}{(\lambda - a_i)^2}.$$
 (‡)

The symbol of $\mathcal{E}=\{E=0,\ F=0\}$ is given by the matrix

$$\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(p) = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_E^u(p) & \ell_E^v(p) \\ \ell_F^u(p) & \ell_F^v(p) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\ell^u_E(p) =$ is the symbol of u-linearization of E is given by

$$\ell^u_{E_{ijkl}}(p) = \sum_{a \le b} \frac{\partial E_{ijkl}}{\partial u_{ab}} p_a p_b = 2 \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} (a_i - a_j)(a_k - a_l) \Big(\frac{p_i p_j}{u_i u_j} + \frac{p_k p_l}{u_k u_l}\Big)$$

and similarly for other entries. Substitution of (†) yields $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(p) = 0$, while substitution of (‡) outside (†) yields $\operatorname{rank}(\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(p)) = 1$.



Proof of involution: A. Projecitve modules

If an involutive PDE system \mathcal{E} is linear, its symbolic module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}} = g^*$ over the ring $\mathcal{R} = ST$ is projective (locally free). Let \mathcal{E} be defined by a k-th order differential operator $\Delta : \Gamma(\pi) \to \Gamma(\nu)$, corresponding to morphisms $\psi_{k+i}^{\Delta} : J^{k+i}\pi \to J^i\nu$, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_{k+i} = \operatorname{Ker}(\psi_{k+i}^{\Delta})$ for $i \geq 0$.

We construct a minimal free resolution of the symbolic module:

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{R} \otimes \varpi^* \xrightarrow{\psi^*} \mathcal{R} \otimes \nu^* \xrightarrow{\sigma_\Delta^*} \mathcal{R} \otimes \pi^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}} \to 0$$

and applying the functor $*=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb R}(\cdot,\mathbb R)$ get the exact sequence

$$0 \to g \hookrightarrow ST^* \otimes \pi \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Delta}} ST^* \otimes \nu \xrightarrow{\psi} ST^* \otimes \varpi \to \dots$$

from which the compatibility conditions of $\mathcal{E} = \{\Delta = 0\}$ are $\Psi \circ \Delta|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ for $\Psi \in \text{Diff}(\nu, \varpi)$ with the symbol ψ at x.

For nonlinear equations, apply the linearisation operator on a solution instead of Δ . Its symbol yields a syzygy, and hence compatibility operators, and Ψ is an operator in total derivatives.



Proof of involution: B. Explicit differential syzygies

The symbol $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}$ of the nonlinear vector-operator defining \mathcal{E} in new coordinates $\xi_i = \frac{p_i}{u}$ on T_x^*M has components

$$\begin{split} \ell^{u}_{E_{ijkl}}(\xi) &= 2 \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} (a_{i} - a_{j})(a_{k} - a_{l}) \left(\xi_{i}\xi_{j} + \xi_{k}\xi_{l}\right), \\ \ell^{v}_{E_{ijkl}}(\xi) &= -\mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} (a_{i} - a_{j})(a_{k} - a_{l}) \left((a_{i} + a_{j})\xi_{i}\xi_{j} + (a_{k} + a_{l})\xi_{k}\xi_{l}\right), \\ \ell^{u}_{F_{ijkl}}(\xi) &= -\mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} \frac{(a_{i} - a_{j})(a_{k} - a_{l})}{a_{i}a_{j}a_{k}a_{l}} \left((a_{i} + a_{j})\xi_{i}\xi_{j} + (a_{k} + a_{l})\xi_{k}\xi_{l}\right), \\ \ell^{v}_{F_{ijkl}}(\xi) &= 2 \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(jkl)} (a_{i} - a_{j})(a_{k} - a_{l}) \left(\frac{\xi_{i}\xi_{j}}{a_{k}a_{l}} + \frac{\xi_{k}\xi_{l}}{a_{i}a_{j}}\right), \end{split}$$

in the basis e_u, e_v of \mathcal{R}^2 and basis $e_{E_{ijkl}}, \, e_{F_{ijkl}}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{2\binom{n-2}{2}}$, where we restrict to indices $i = 1, j = 2, 2 < k < l \le n$.

This means that the homomorphism $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}$ maps $f(\xi)e_u$ to $f(\xi) \sum_{k < l} (\ell^u_{E_{12kl}}(\xi) e_{E_{12kl}} + \ell^u_{F_{12kl}}(\xi) e_{F_{12kl}})$ and similarly for $h(\xi) e_v$.

Proof of involution: B — cont'd

Now we resolve $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}$ by a homomorphism $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The image $\mathcal{C}(\xi)(w)$ for $w = \sum_{i < j} (w_{E_{12ij}} e_{E_{12ij}} + w_{F_{12ij}} e_{F_{12ij}})$ has the following components $(2 < i < j < k \leq n)$:

$$\mathcal{C}_{ijk}^{I} = \underset{(ijk)}{\mathfrak{S}} \left((a_2 - a_k)\xi_1 + (a_k - a_1)\xi_2 + (a_1 - a_2)\xi_k \right) w_{E_{12ij}}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ijk}^{II} = \underset{(ijk)}{\mathfrak{S}} \left[\left((a_1 - a_2)(a_2 - a_k)a_1\xi_1 + (a_2 - a_1)(a_1 - a_k)a_2\xi_2 + ((a_2 - a_k)^2a_1 + (a_1 - a_k)^2a_2)\xi_k \right) w_{E_{12ij}} + 2a_1a_2a_ia_j(a_1 - a_k)(a_2 - a_k)\xi_k w_{F_{12ij}} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{ijk}^{III} &= \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(ijk)} \Big[2(a_1 - a_k)(a_2 - a_k)\xi_k w_{E_{12ij}} \\ &+ \big((a_1 - a_2)(a_2 - a_k)a_1\xi_1 + (a_2 - a_1)(a_1 - a_k)a_2\xi_2 \\ &+ ((a_2 - a_k)^2a_1 + (a_1 - a_k)^2a_2)\xi_k \big)a_ia_j w_{F_{12ij}} \Big] \\ \mathcal{C}_{ijk}^{IV} &= \mathop{\mathfrak{S}}_{(ijk)} \big((a_2 - a_k)a_1^2\xi_1 + (a_k - a_1)a_2^2\xi_2 + (a_1 - a_2)a_k^2\xi_k \big)a_ia_j w_{F_{12ij}} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

One verifies that with these homomorphisms the following sequence is exact:

$$\mathcal{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\ell_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{R}^{2\binom{n-2}{2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{R}^{4\binom{n-2}{3}}.$$

In other words, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the first syzygy for the module

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\star} = \operatorname{Ker}(\ell_{\mathcal{E}}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{R}).$$

Therefore, the differential syzygies for \mathcal{E} are enumerated by 5 different indices (12ijk), $2 < i < j < k \leq n$.

Consequently to verify compatibility conditions for each of these 5-tuples one can work in the corresponding 5-dimensional space, which is verified straightforwardly. And this yields involutivity.



Compatibility via free resolution

For a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{R}^{n-2} \to \mathcal{R}^2$ the following sequence is known as the Eagon-Northcott complex ($\star = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\cdot, \mathcal{R})$)

 $\cdots \to S^{3}\mathcal{R}^{\star 2} \otimes \Lambda^{5}\mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\partial} S^{2}\mathcal{R}^{\star 2} \otimes \Lambda^{4}\mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{\star 2} \otimes \Lambda^{3}\mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Lambda^{2}\mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{R}.$

It is exact when the Fitting ideal $I(\varphi)$, generated by 2×2 determinants of φ , contains a regular sequence of length (n-3). For the system \mathcal{E} the map $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}$ split: $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(e_u)$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(e_v)$ generate two complementary submodules $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{R}^{n-2} \subset \mathcal{R}^{2\binom{n-2}{2}}$. Therefore two copies of the *-dual Eagon-Northcott complex yield the following resolution of the *-dual symbolic module:

 $0 \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\star} \to \mathcal{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\ell_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{R}^2 \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{R}^{\star 2} \otimes \mathcal{R}^2 \otimes \Lambda^3 \mathcal{R}^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\partial^{\star}} S^2 \mathcal{R}^{\star 2} \otimes \mathcal{R}^2 \otimes \Lambda^4 \mathcal{R}^{n-2} \to \dots$

The Fitting condition corresponds to codimension n-3 of the zero set of $I(\ell_{\mathcal{E}})$ is the tangential variety to the rational normal curve. That only 5-tuples of distinct indices enter the compatibility conditions we read off $\Lambda^3 \mathcal{R}^{n-2}$: triples (ijk) yield 5-tuples (12ijk).



Theorem 3: Intergability

For every n system (EF) is integrable via a dispersionless Lax representation. Thus letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain a dispersionless integrable hierarchy.

Indeed, on \hat{M}_{u}^{n+1} the vector fields

$$\begin{split} V_{ijk} &= \frac{\lambda - a_i}{u_i} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - a_k} - \frac{1}{\lambda - a_j} \right) \partial_{x^i} + \frac{\lambda - a_j}{u_j} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - a_i} - \frac{1}{\lambda - a_k} \right) \partial_{x^j} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda - a_k}{u_k} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - a_j} - \frac{1}{\lambda - a_i} \right) \partial_{x^k} - S_{ijk} \partial_{\lambda}, \end{split}$$

generate distribution $V = span \langle V_{ijk} \rangle$ in $T\hat{M}_u$ of dimension n-2: due to identities for T_{ijk} a basis is given by V_{12l} for $3 \le l \le n$. Direct calculation based on the Frobenius theorem shows that on equations (EF) the distribution V is involutive.



References

- R. Bryant, *Two exotic holonomies in dimension four, path geometries, and twistor theory*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **53** (1991).
- A. Cap, B. Doubrov, D. The, On C-class equations, arXiv:1709.01130.
- B. Doubrov, *Generalized Wilczynski invariants for non-linear ordinary differential equations*, IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **144** Springer (2008).
- M. Dunajski, P. Tod, *Paraconformal geometry of nth-order ODEs,* and exotic holonomy in dimension four, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006).
- D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin (1995).
- E. Ferapontov, B. Kruglikov, *Dispersionless integrable hierarchies and GL(2,R) geometry*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 1-26 (2019)
- M. Godlinski, P. Nurowski, *GL(2,R) geometry of ODE's*, J. Geom. Phys. **60**, no. 6-8 (2010).
- W. Krynski, *Paraconformal structures, ordinary differential equations and totally geodesic manifolds,* J. Geom. Phys. **103** (2016) 1-19.



Э